



2022 Boston Harbor Benthic Monitoring Report



2001



2022

Massachusetts Water Resources Authority
Environmental Quality Department
Report 2024-03



Citation:

Rutecki DA, Diaz RJ, Madray M, Goode KL. 2023. **2022 Boston Harbor Benthic Monitoring Report**. Boston: Massachusetts Water Resources Authority. Report 2024-03. 68 p.

Cover photograph credit: R.J. Diaz and Daughters

Environmental Quality Department reports can be downloaded from
<http://www.mwra.com/harbor/enquad/trlist.html>

2022 Boston Harbor Benthic Monitoring Report

Submitted to

Massachusetts Water Resources Authority
Environmental Quality Department
33 Tafts Avenue
Boston, MA 02128
(617) 242-6000

Prepared by

Deborah A. Rutecki¹
Robert J. Diaz²
Maureen Madray¹
Kirsty Goode¹

¹Normandeau Associates, Inc.
25 Nashua Road
Bedford, NH 30110

²Diaz and Daughters
6198 Driftwood Lane
Ware Neck, VA 23178

February 2024

Environmental Quality Report No. 2024-03

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	5
1. INTRODUCTION	6
2. METHODS	7
2.1 FIELD METHODS	7
2.2 LABORATORY METHODS.....	7
2.3 DATA HANDLING, REDUCTION, AND ANALYSIS	9
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	11
3.1 SEDIMENT CONDITIONS	11
3.2 BENTHIC INFAUNA	17
3.3 SEDIMENT PROFILE IMAGING.....	36
4. CONCLUSION.....	65
5. REFERENCES	66

FIGURES

Figure 2-1. Locations of soft-bottom sampling and sediment profile imaging stations for 2022.....	8
Figure 3-1. Monitoring results for 2022 sediment grain size in Boston Harbor.....	12
Figure 3-2. Mean percent fine sediments at five stations in Boston Harbor, 1991 to 2022.....	12
Figure 3-3. Mean concentrations of TOC at five stations in Boston Harbor, 1991 to 2022.....	13
Figure 3-4. Mean normalized concentrations of <i>Clostridium perfringens</i> at five stations in Boston Harbor, 1991 to 2022.....	14
Figure 3-5. Comparison of TOC across time periods (offset by one year) at Boston Harbor stations (T01-T08) from 1992 to 2022 (1991 excluded).....	15
Figure 3-6. Comparison of <i>Clostridium perfringens</i> across time periods (offset by one year) at Boston Harbor stations (T01-T08) from 1992 to 2022 (1991 excluded).....	16
Figure 3-7. Mean abundance of benthic infauna at eight Boston Harbor stations (T01-T08), 1991-2022.....	18
Figure 3-8. Total abundance of four dominant taxa at eight Boston Harbor stations (T01-T08), 1991-2022.....	20
Figure 3-9. Mean annual abundance of <i>Ampelisca</i> spp. averaged over eight Boston Harbor stations (T01-T08), 1991-2022.....	21
Figure 3-10. Spatial distribution of <i>Ampelisca</i> spp. at eight Boston Harbor stations (T01-T08), 2014-2022.....	21
Figure 3-11. Mean total abundance of the two dominant <i>Ampelisca</i> taxa at eight Boston Harbor stations (T01-T08), 1995-2022.....	22
Figure 3-12. Mean species richness at eight Boston Harbor stations (T01-T08), 1991-2022.....	23
Figure 3-13. Mean community evenness at eight Boston Harbor stations (T01-T08), 1991-2022.....	24
Figure 3-14. Mean Shannon-Weiner diversity at eight Boston harbor stations (T01-T08), 1991-2022.....	24
Figure 3-15. Mean log-series alpha diversity at eight Boston Harbor stations (T01-T08), 1991-2022.....	25

Figure 3-16. Results of (a) cluster analysis and (b) nMDS analysis of the 2022 infauna samples.....	28
Figure 3-17. Percent fine sediments superimposed on 2022 nMDS ordination plot of the 2022 Harbor infauna samples.	30
Figure 3-18. Mean total abundance at Boston Harbor Stations T01 and C019, 1991-2022.....	32
Figure 3-19. Mean species richness at Boston Harbor Stations T01 and C019, 1991-2022.	32
Figure 3-20. Mean log-series alpha diversity at Boston Harbor Stations T01 and C019, 1991-2022.	33
Figure 3-21. Mean Shannon-Weiner diversity at Boston Harbor Stations T01 and C019, 1991-2022.....	33
Figure 3-22. Mean evenness at Boston Harbor stations T01 and C019, 1991-2022.	34
Figure 3-23. Mean abundance of <i>Micronephthys neotena</i> (formerly <i>Bipalponephthys neotena</i>) and other dominants and total community abundance at Station C019, 2004-2022.....	34
Figure 3-24. Sediment profile imaging stations (blue points) and soft-bottom sampling locations (stars) delineated by Boston Harbor regions.....	36
Figure 3-25. Maximum, mean, and minimum OSI for all 61 Harbor stations by year.....	37
Figure 3-26. OSI anomaly (year mean - grand mean) for Boston Harbor regions.	38
Figure 3-27. Box plot of OSI by Harbor region for 2022.....	39
Figure 3-28. Mosaic of selected SPI from Station R36 in Quincy Bay, about 4 m depth. Images are 15 cm wide.....	40
Figure 3-29. Matrix of modal grain-size estimated from SPI with stations arranged by Harbor region (See Figure 3-23 for station locations).....	41
Figure 3-30. Mosaic of selected SPI from Station R16 in Nantasket Roads, about 8 m depth.	43
Figure 3-31. Mosaic of selected SPI from Station R16 in Nantasket Roads, about 8 m depth.	44
Figure 3-32. Trends in OSI, organic loading, primary production, total phytoplankton, and winter-period storminess over the monitoring years.	46
Figure 3-33. Mosaic of selected SPI from Station R02 in President Roads, about 14 m depth prior to dredging, dredged to about 19 m in July-August 2018.....	47
Figure 3-34. Mosaic of selected SPI from Station T05A in President Roads, about 18 m depth.....	48
Figure 3-35. Mosaic of selected SPI from Station R09 in the Inner Harbor.	49
Figure 3-36. Mosaic of selected SPI from Station R08 on Deer Island Flats, about 4 m depth.	50
Figure 3-37. Long-term trends in benthic habitat quality as measured by OSI and community structure statistics.....	52
Figure 3-38. Long-term trends in annual winter-period (October to May) integrated wind strength (IWindS), the number of storms in the winter period, and percent time of stormy in the winter period.....	53
Figure 3-39. Examples of bed roughness being dominated by biological (BIO) and physical (PHY) processes.....	54
Figure 3-40. Odds of sediment surface being dominated by physical or biological processes by Harbor region.....	55
Figure 3-41. Long-term trend in median grain-size (from grab sediment analysis).	57
Figure 3-42. Median sediment grain-size trend at Stations T06. Red symbols have example SPI for the year indicated.	58
Figure 3-43. Median sediment grain-size trend at Stations T05A. Red symbols have example SPI for the year indicated.....	58
Figure 3-44. Trends in percent total organic carbon (TOC) controlling for percent fines (Silt+Clay) based on linear regression.....	59
Figure 3-45. Trend in percent total organic carbon (TOC) controlling for percent fines (Silt+Clay) at Station T01, based on linear regression.	60
Figure 3-46. Histogram of <i>Ampelisca</i> spp. tubes and tube mats, and worm tube mats for all 61 Harbor stations.	61

Figure 3-47. Station T03 sediment surface image (40x27 cm) with SPI insert.....	62
Figure 3-48. Histogram of <i>Ampelisca</i> spp. tubes and tube mats by Harbor regions.....	63

TABLES

Table 3-1. Monitoring results for sediment condition parameters in 2022.....	11
Table 3-2. Mean 2022 infaunal community parameters by station.....	17
Table 3-3. Dominant taxa at eight grab stations (T01-T08) in Boston Harbor in August 2022.....	18
Table 3-4. Mean abundance per sample of dominant taxa during four discharge periods at eight Boston Harbor stations (T01-T08), 1992-2022.....	19
Table 3-5. Mean abundance of dominant taxa in 2022 Boston Harbor station groups defined by cluster analysis.....	29
Table 3-6. Benthic community parameters for stations T01-T08, summarized by time periods defined by Taylor (2006).....	35

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Massachusetts Water Resources Authority's (MWRA) Deer Island Treatment Plant treats sewage from more than 40 communities in Greater Boston. Discharge of sludge into Boston Harbor from the treatment process stopped in 1991. However, effluent was discharged into Boston Harbor until September 2000. Direct discharge of sludge and wastewater with limited treatment into the Harbor had affected both water quality and ecological conditions. Since September 2000, the effluent has been discharged offshore to Massachusetts Bay via a 9.5-mile outfall tunnel with additional secondary treatment. MWRA has conducted ongoing benthic monitoring in Boston Harbor since 1991 to evaluate changes to the ecosystem and the benthic (seafloor) community resulting from reductions in contaminated discharges over time. The conditions in the sediments and the associated benthic infaunal community reflect cumulative water quality exposures. This report summarizes the results of the 2022 benthic surveys, which include sediment conditions, benthic infauna, and sediment profile imagery.

Sediment conditions were characterized based on analyses of sediment grain size composition, total organic carbon (TOC), and colony counts of the anaerobic bacterium *Clostridium perfringens*, an indicator of the presence of sewage. Grain size composition ranged from predominantly sand at Outer Harbor stations to almost entirely silt and clay in Savin Hill Cove and the Inner Harbor. The highest TOC concentrations in 2022 were observed in Savin Hill Cove and Station T07. *C. perfringens* counts during 2022 were higher than in recent years especially at Stations T08 and T07. *C. perfringens* counts at these two stations may have been impacted by untreated sanitary sewage discharges from stormwater outfalls in the City of Quincy, MA (*United States of America v. City of Quincy, MA* 2021). Sediment conditions observed in 2022 were generally consistent with longer-term trends (Rutecki et al. 2023). Concentrations of both TOC and *C. perfringens* have remained low compared to the levels in the early 1990s.

The monitoring program evaluates benthic infauna by measuring species abundance and other benthic community measures. These measures continue to indicate improving benthic conditions in 2022. Since the cessation of MWRA discharges to the harbor, total species abundance has trended downward, particularly for opportunistic species such as *Capitella capitata*. Total species abundance has been fairly stable for the last decade. Species richness and other biodiversity measures have trended upward.

Although abundances of individual taxa have varied over time, the infaunal community structure and evidence from sediment profile imaging suggest that these changes relate more to normal physical disturbances than organic stress.

Sediment profile imaging showed overall habitat conditions improved in 2022 relative to 2021, as measured by the Organism Sediment Index. The trends observed in previous years, including a reduction of indicators of organic enrichment and increases in species diversity, continued in the 2022 survey. These results are consistent with the recovery of benthic habitats from decades of inadequately treated sewage discharges.

Overall, benthic monitoring results in 2022 were consistent with results in the years since the discharge was moved offshore and indicate recovery of the harbor benthic community continues.

1. INTRODUCTION

Boston Harbor was once considered among the most degraded urban estuaries in the country. Direct discharge of sludge and wastewater with limited treatment into the harbor had affected both water quality and ecological conditions. Through litigation, the EPA prevailed upon the Commonwealth of Massachusetts to take actions to improve these conditions and the Massachusetts Water Resources Authority (MWRA) was created in response. In 1985, MWRA initiated a multi-faceted plan to meet these mandates, including elimination of sludge disposal into the harbor, an upgrade to secondary treatment, and relocation of wastewater discharge through an offshore diffuser located 9.5 mi off Deer Island in Massachusetts Bay. By 2001, MWRA had met all of these goals. In 2015, MWRA and its member communities completed a series of 35 projects intended to eliminate or minimize the impacts of Combined Sewer Overflows (CSOs) to Boston Harbor and its tributaries (Kubiak et al. 2016).

The upgrades in wastewater treatment and moving the outfall offshore have led to improvements in water quality and benthic habitat quality within Boston Harbor by reducing organic and nutrient loading. These reductions have enabled processes that enhance the mixing of sediment by organisms. At one time, the sediment organic matter in Boston Harbor was derived primarily from sewage but since changes in wastewater treatment and disposal were initiated, marine-derived organic material has become more prevalent in the sediment. The infaunal community has responded to this change and subsequent biological activity has led to more aerobic and healthy sediment conditions.

Conditions in the sediments and the associated benthic infaunal community reflect cumulative water quality exposures. MWRA has conducted ongoing benthic monitoring in Boston Harbor since 1991 to evaluate changes to the ecosystem and the benthic community resulting from reductions in contaminated discharges over time. This report summarizes the results of the 2022 benthic surveys, which include sediment conditions, benthic infauna, and sediment profile imagery.

2. METHODS

Benthic monitoring in Boston Harbor continued at the same stations that have been surveyed since 1991. This included soft-bottom sampling for sediments and infauna at 9 stations and sediment profile imaging (SPI) at 61 stations. This program comprises three components: sediment conditions (grain size, total organic carbon, and *Clostridium perfringens*), benthic infauna, and sediment profile imaging (SPI).

Methods used to collect, analyze, and evaluate all sample types remain largely consistent with those reported by Rutecki et al. (2023) for previous monitoring years. Detailed descriptions of the methods are contained in the Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) for Benthic Monitoring (Rutecki et al. 2020a). A brief overview of methods, focused on information that is not included in the QAPP, is provided in Sections 2.1 to 2.3.

2.1 FIELD METHODS

Sediment and infauna sampling was conducted at 9 stations in August 2022 (Figure 2-1). Soft-bottom stations were sampled for grain size composition; total organic carbon (TOC); the sewage tracer *Clostridium perfringens*, an anaerobic bacterium found in the intestinal tract of mammals; and benthic infauna. One sediment sample and triplicate infauna samples were collected at each station on August 4, 2022. SPI samples were collected in triplicate at 61 stations from August 8-9, 2022 (Figure 2-1).

2.2 LABORATORY METHODS

Laboratory methods for benthic infauna and sediment profile imaging (SPI) image analyses were consistent with the QAPP (Rutecki et al. 2020a). Two of the infauna samples from each site sampled for infauna were randomly selected for processing, while the third was archived. Analytical methods for grain size, TOC, and *Clostridium perfringens* are described in Constantino et al. (2014).




Figure 2-1. Locations of soft-bottom sampling and sediment profile imaging stations for 2022.

2.3 DATA HANDLING, REDUCTION, AND ANALYSIS

All benthic data were extracted directly from the MWRA database and imported into Excel. Data handling, reduction, and graphical presentations were performed in Excel or SAS (version 9.3), as described in the QAPP (Rutecki et al. 2020a) or in Maciolek et al. (2008). Data are presented graphically as means (averages per station) unless otherwise noted. The Shannon-Weiner diversity index (H') was calculated using log base 2. Multivariate analyses were performed using PRIMER v7 (Plymouth Routines in Multivariate Ecological Research) software to examine spatial patterns in the overall similarity of benthic assemblages in the survey area (Clarke 1993, Warwick 1993, Clarke and Green 1988). These analyses included classification (cluster analysis) by hierarchical agglomerative clustering with group average linking and ordination by non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS). Bray-Curtis similarity was used as the basis for both classification and ordination. Prior to analyses, infaunal abundance data were fourth-root transformed to ensure that all taxa, not just the numerical dominants, would contribute to similarity measures.

Cluster analysis produces a dendrogram that represents discrete groupings of samples along a scale of similarity. This representation is most useful in order to delineate among sites with distinct community structure. nMDS ordination produces a plot or “map” in which the distance between samples represents their rank ordered similarities, with closer proximity in the plot representing higher similarity. Ordination provides a more useful representation of patterns in community structure when assemblages vary along a steady gradation of differences among sites. Stress provides a measure of adequacy of the representation of similarities in the nMDS ordination plot (Clarke 1993). Stress levels less than 0.05 indicate an excellent representation of relative similarities among samples with no prospect of misinterpretation. Stress less than 0.1 corresponds to a good ordination with no real prospect of a misleading interpretation. Stress less than 0.2 still provides a potentially useful two-dimensional picture, while stress greater than 0.3 indicates that points on the plot are close to being arbitrarily placed. Together, cluster analysis and nMDS ordination provide a highly informative representation of patterns of community-level similarity among samples. The “similarity profile test” (SIMPROF) was used to provide statistical support for the identification of faunal assemblages (i.e., selection of cluster groups). SIMPROF is a permutation test of the null hypothesis that the groups identified by cluster analysis (samples included under each node in the dendrogram) do not differ from each other in multivariate structure.

Temporal patterns in the infaunal community and sediment chemistry were evaluated in the context of the four discharge periods described by Taylor (2006). The periods denote significant events that had a likelihood of affecting the harbor benthic community, including, cessation of the discharge of sludge in 1991 (end of Period I); opening of the new primary treatment plant at Deer Island (1995) and its upgrade to secondary treatment between 1997 and 2001 (Period II); implementation of the transfer of wastewater from Nut Island to Deer Island, ending the Nut Island discharge in 1998 (onset of Period III); and implementation of the offshore outfall in 2000 (onset of Period IV). Since sediment communities can take time to respond to changes, for example reductions in the deposition of organic matter, these periods were offset by one year. These evaluations generally focused on Stations T01 to T08 (traditional stations, Periods I-IV) and excluded data from Station C019 which are only available since 2004 (Period IV).

Details on parameters and analysis of SPI images can be found in Diaz et al. (2008). Median grain-size was estimated graphically using cumulative percentage weights of Phi intervals from sediment analysis. Modal Phi was the interval with the highest percentage weight. For this report, quantitative SPI parameters (e.g., apparent redox potential discontinuity [aRPD] layer depth) were averaged from the three replicate images. For categorical parameters (e.g., presence of biogenic structures), the median value of the three replicate images was assigned to a station. As the selection of station locations in the Harbor was non-random, fixed-effect nominal logistic models, which treat each station measurement as a separate observation, were used to analyze patterns in categorical data (Agresti 1990). For continuous variables, general linear models (GLM) were used to test for differences within and between quantitative parameters. Trends in quantitative variables were tested using various GLMs (simple linear regression, segmented linear regression, analysis of variance). Significance of odds was tested using logistic regression. All statistical tests were conducted with the statistical package R version 3.6.2 (2019-12-12, R Foundation for Statistical Computing).

Image mosaics of selected stations were created by histogram equalization of all images with the red, green, and blue pixel distributions clipped from 1 to 5%. Some scanned film images were also adjusted for poor exposure and color balance. Images were then scaled to a width of 400 pixels and sharpened with unsharp mask. Variation in image color between years was due to a combination of factors. The primary factor was the camera used with the greatest variation from 1991 to 2001 when film cameras were utilized (Olympus OM1 in 1992, Hulcher Model M or W in 1991 and 1993 to 2001). Variation in film development and subsequent digitization of images led to the largest imbalances in color rendition. Starting in 2002 digital cameras were used. Minolta Dimage7 or Dimage7i cameras with 5-megapixel sensors were used from 2002 to 2009, Canon EOS 7D cameras with 18-megapixel sensors were used from 2010 to 2019, and Canon EOS R cameras with 30-megapixel sensors were used from 2020 to 2022.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 SEDIMENT CONDITIONS

Sediment conditions in Boston Harbor were characterized in 2022 by measuring three parameters at each of the nine sampling stations: (1) grain size (gravel, sand, silt, and clay), (2) total organic carbon, and (3) *Clostridium perfringens* (Table 3-1).

Table 3-1. Monitoring results for sediment condition parameters in 2022.

Parameter		C019	T01	T02	T03	T04	T05A	T06	T07	T08
Grain Size	Gravel (%)	0.0	1.3	0.0	7.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6	1.0
	Sand (%)	9.9	75.6	48.5	51.1	10.1	81.8	80.1	35.9	95.4
	Silt (%)	47.6	15.0	32.1	23.5	52.2	11.6	12.9	29.0	1.2
	Clay (%)	42.6	8.1	19.4	17.5	37.7	6.6	7.1	31.4	2.4
	Percent Fines (Silt + Clay)	90.2	23.1	51.5	41.0	89.9	18.2	20.0	60.5	3.6
Total Organic Carbon	TOC (%)	2.1	0.4	1.0	1.1	2.8	0.4	0.6	2.7	0.2
<i>Clostridium perfringens</i>	Not Normalized	12200	1530	5370	5390	7520	2510	2410	9990	863
	Normalized (cfu/g dry/%fines)	135.3	66.2	104.3	131.4	83.6	138.2	120.8	165.2	237.1

Grain Size. Surface sediments at the nine stations sampled during 2022 included a wide range of sediment types (Table 3-1, Figure 3-1). Grain size profiles ranged from predominantly sand (T08) to almost entirely silt and clay (C019 and T04). Most stations had mixed sediments. The Outer Harbor stations generally had more than 50% sand with varying fractions of silt-clay. Grain size at Station T03, in the lee of Long Island, has had higher percentages of fines (silt plus clay) than sand since 2017. Although this trend started before the 2018 dredging of the President Roads Anchorage, the dredging could have enhanced this trend post-2018. The grain size composition at each station in 2022 generally remained within the ranges reported in prior years. T01 (just west of Deer Island) and T02 (at the mouth of the Inner Harbor) have exhibited the largest fluctuations in fine sediments over the years and T04 has occasionally exhibited large drops in percent fines (Figure 3-2).




Figure 3-1. Monitoring results for 2022 sediment grain size in Boston Harbor.




Figure 3-2. Mean percent fine sediments at five stations in Boston Harbor, 1991 to 2022. Five stations selected to illustrate the trends in percent fine sediments at stations in the Outer Harbor (T08), mid-Harbor (T01 and T02), and Inner Harbor (T04 and C019).

Total Organic Carbon. Concentrations of total organic carbon (TOC) in 2022 were generally similar to the values reported in 2021 and recent years at most stations. TOC concentrations remained within the ranges reported in previous years (Figure 3-3). Higher TOC values were generally associated with higher percent fine sediments (Figures 3-2 and 3-3). During 2022, Stations T04, T07, and C019 had among the highest concentrations of TOC and had the highest proportions of fines (Table 3-1). T04 and C019 have typically had the highest TOC. TOC levels at T03 have been below 3% since 2005 and below 2.5% since 2011; levels in 2022 are consistent with this trend. The lowest TOC concentrations for 2022 were reported at Stations T01, T05A, and T08 which have predominantly sand sediments.




Figure 3-3. Mean concentrations of TOC at five stations in Boston Harbor, 1991 to 2022. Five stations selected to illustrate the trends in TOC at stations in the Outer Harbor (T08), mid-Harbor (T01 and T02), and Inner Harbor (T04 and C019).

Clostridium perfringens. *Clostridium perfringens* provide a sensitive tracer of sewage effluent. *C. perfringens* data were normalized to percent fines because the distribution of *C. perfringens* has been found to vary with the proportion of fine-grained material in the sediments (Parmenter and Bothner 1993), and normalization provides a more conservative means of evaluating the data for trends. Abundances of *C. perfringens* (normalized to percent fines) during 2022 (Table 3-1) were generally low and comparable across all monitoring stations, especially when compared to historic data (Figure 3-4). Abundances at T04, a depositional site in Savin Hill Cove, historically exhibited relatively high variability among years. Since 2014, *C. perfringens* counts at T04 have remained relatively low and steady through 2022 (Figure 3-4). Normalized *C. perfringens* counts at Station T08 had consistently been low since 2010 with the exceptions in 2016, 2020, and the current study year of 2022. The 2016 occurrence was largely an artifact of the atypically low percent fines at T08 that year, which may also be the case for 2022. *C. perfringens*

concentrations in Quincy Bay (T07) and Hingham Bay (T08) may also have been impacted by untreated sanitary sewage discharges from stormwater outfalls in the City of Quincy, MA (*United States of America v. City of Quincy, MA* 2021, US EPA 2021). Except at Inner Harbor station C019, *C. perfringens* counts at Harbor stations have generally remained below historical averages since the late 1990's (Figure 3-4). The decline may reflect the implementation and completion of CSO Control Plan projects from 1996 through 2015 that minimized CSO discharges to meet Class SB(cso) water quality standards. Station C019 is a depositional area located in the upper Inner Harbor near several CSOs. *C. perfringens* counts at C019 declined in 2017, remained low compared to historic data through 2020, and then increased in 2021. The 2022 concentration was higher than the 2021 concentration and the highest observed since 2016 (Figure 3-4).




Figure 3-4. Mean normalized concentrations of *Clostridium perfringens* at five stations in Boston Harbor, 1991 to 2022. Five stations selected to illustrate the trends in *Clostridium perfringens* at stations in the Outer Harbor (T08), mid-Harbor (T01 and T02), and Inner Harbor (T04 and C019).

Association with wastewater treatment improvements. Taylor (2006) defined time periods related to various changes in MWRA's management of wastewater and sludge treatment and discharges. We are currently in Period IV which started with the implementation of the offshore outfall. The data is offset from the Taylor (2006) periods by one year to allow time for the benthic communities to respond to the reduced loadings of eutrophication-related materials to Boston Harbor. Results during 2022 for grain size, TOC, and *C. perfringens* in Boston Harbor sediments, are consistent with monitoring results from previous post-outfall implementation years (Maciolek et al. 2008, 2011; Rutecki et al. 2023). Concentrations of both TOC and *C. perfringens* at the traditional harbor stations (T01 to T08) have

remained lower during the past decade than those reported during the 1990s (Figures 3-5 and 3-6). In Figures 3-5 and 3-6, Period IV has been divided into multi-year segments in comparison to the most recent year, to show potential changes of TOC and *C. perfringens* concentrations over time in this period. These findings are consistent with other changes documented in the Harbor following improvements to the collection, treatment, and disposal of wastewater as part of the Boston Harbor Project (Taylor 2006, Taylor et al. 2020, Maciolek et al. 2008).




Figure 3-5. Comparison of TOC across time periods (offset by one year) at Boston Harbor stations (T01-T08) from 1992 to 2022 (1991 excluded).




Figure 3-6. Comparison of *Clostridium perfringens* across time periods (offset by one year) at Boston Harbor stations (T01-T08) from 1992 to 2022 (1991 excluded).

3.2 BENTHIC INFAUNA

3.2.1 Community Parameters

A total of 34,325 infaunal organisms were counted from the 18 samples processed in 2022. Organisms were classified into 153 discrete taxa, 139 of which were species-level identifications. Ninety-six percent of the individuals were identified to species; all remaining individuals were identified to genus or family. These species richness values are consistent with values observed in the harbor in recent years. Abundance values reported herein reflect the total counts from both species and higher taxonomic groups, while diversity measures are based on the species-level identifications only (Table 3-2). Data are presented in figures as means (averages per station) unless otherwise noted.

Table 3-2. Mean 2022 infaunal community parameters by station.

Station	Total Abundance	Number of Species	Pielou's Evenness (J')	Shannon-Wiener Diversity (H')	Log-series alpha
C019	1054.0	22.5	0.53	2.39	4.06
T01	999.5	41.5	0.62	3.33	8.92
T02	2752.0	53.0	0.51	2.92	9.42
T03	2139.5	51.0	0.61	3.46	9.42
T04	1040.5	16.0	0.43	1.70	2.68
T05A	4178.5	49.0	0.39	2.20	7.91
T06	3823.0	46.5	0.38	2.11	7.55
T07	361.5	22.0	0.75	3.33	5.18
T08	814.0	50.0	0.59	3.31	12.06

Mean total abundance at the eight traditional Harbor stations reported for 2022 was higher than in 2021 and comparable to values reported since 2011. Increases in mean abundance occurred at Stations C019, T02, T04, T05A, T06, and T07 and ranged from 15% at Station T04 to 372% at Station C019. The large increase in abundance recorded at Station C019 in 2022 was the result of increases in both *Tubificoides intermedius* and *Cossura sp.* 1. Total mean abundance decreased at Stations T01, T03, and T08 and ranged from 15% at Station T03 to 58% at Station T01, compared to the 2021 values (Rutecki et al. 2023). Abundances in 2022 were within the range observed during the post-offshore diversion period but relatively low compared to pre-diversion values (Figure 3-7). The five most abundant species in 2022 each contributed 4.0% or more of the animals counted, and together they provided 67% of the total abundance across the eight traditional benthic stations. Most of the species that dominated the infauna at these stations in the past several years continued to do so in 2022 (Table 3-3) although the rank order changed. Three species of polychaetes were among the most abundant taxa in 2022. The five most abundant taxa in 2022 have frequently been among the most abundant in the harbor during previous years (Table 3-4). Certain spatial patterns of abundance also appeared to be consistent with previous years; T07 continued to support low infaunal abundances (Table 3-2). Station T05A had the highest abundance in

2022, and Station T02, T03, and T06 continued to support some of the highest abundances among the harbor stations.




Figure 3-7. Mean abundance of benthic infauna at eight Boston Harbor stations (T01-T08), 1991-2022.

Table 3-3. Dominant taxa at eight grab stations (T01-T08) in Boston Harbor in August 2022.

Taxon	Total 2022 Abundance (compared with 2021) ^a
<i>Polydora cornuta</i>	8,394 (increase)
<i>Aricidea catherinae</i>	7,467 (increase)
<i>Tubificoides intermedius</i>	2,407 (decrease)
<i>Naidinae</i> sp.1	1,853 (similar)
<i>Limnodriloides medioporus</i>	1,403 (decrease)

^a increase or decrease indicates $\geq 25\%$ change from previous year

Temporally, benthic infaunal abundance in the harbor has been controlled by a limited number of species (Table 3-4). Although these dominants have varied somewhat over the course of the surveys, four taxa (*Ampelisca* spp., *Aricidea catherinae*, *Limnodriloides medioporus*, and *Polydora cornuta*) have been among the most abundant organisms during the baseline and subsequent periods. Annual abundances of these species are presented in Figure 3-8. *A. catherinae* and *L. medioporus* have exhibited little interannual variation in abundance whereas both *P. cornuta* and *Ampelisca* spp. have exhibited fluctuations of one to two orders of magnitude, and abundances of both species are currently low. *P.*

cornuta and *Ampelisca* spp. reside in the upper substrate layer so variability could be related to many factors, including changes in organic enrichment, physical forces such as storm events, and reproductive success in a given year as was described in previous reports (Maciolek et al. 2006, 2011).

Table 3-4. Mean abundance per sample of dominant taxa during four discharge periods at eight Boston Harbor stations (T01-T08), 1992-2022.

Phylum	Higher taxon	Family	Species ^a	Period I	Period II	Period III	Period IV	2022
Annelida	Polychaeta	Capitellidae	<i>Capitella capitata</i> complex	65.2	88.8	3.4	6.2	4.6
		Cirratulidae	<i>Tharyx acutus</i>	50.6	111.8	52.4	58.8	59.6
		Lumbrineridae	<i>Scoletoma hebes</i>	3.4	10.5	4.2	77.0	68.1
		Nephtyidae	<i>Micronephthys neotena</i> ^b	-	11.4	10.3	154.7	17.1
		Paraonidae	<i>Aricidea (acmira) catherinae</i>	325.0	237.4	204.3	260.2	466.7
		Spionidae	<i>Polydora cornuta</i> <i>Streblospio benedicti</i>	525.8 236.0	1053.0 298.6	269.6 27.7	273.7 88.7	524.6 66.4
	Oligochaeta	Tubificidae	<i>Limnodriloides medioporus</i>	484.7	297.9	315.2	223.1	87.7
			<i>Naidinae</i> sp. 1	-	0.0	0.0	25.1	115.8
			<i>Tubificoides intermedius</i>	42.6	101.4	231.2	233.5	150.4
	Arthropoda	Amphipoda	Ampeliscidae	<i>Ampelisca</i> spp.	354.3	1698.3	1205.9	460.0
Corophiidae			<i>Corophiidae</i> spp.	16.1	336.2	23.0	1.5	2.6
			<i>Crassikorophium bonellii</i>	7.9	217.3	37.3	6.1	0.1
			<i>Leptocheirus pinguis</i>	29.0	117.4	66.0	64.6	2.4
Photidae			<i>Photis pollex</i>	11.4	77.0	86.8	25.0	3.3
Phoxocephalidae	<i>Phoxocephalus holbolli</i>	28.0	116.9	125.9	5.6	0.8		

^a Dominants identified as taxa cumulatively composing 75% of total abundance in each period.

^b Previously identified as *Nephtys cornuta* and *Bipalponephtys neotena*.




Figure 3-8. Total abundance of four dominant taxa at eight Boston Harbor stations (T01-T08), 1991-2022.

Patterns of abundance of *Ampelisca* spp. have been of particular interest throughout the history of the program due to the numerical dominance of this taxon in Harbor assemblages and the tolerance of *Ampelisca abdita* to highly enriched sediments. Previous annual reports on the harbor surveys have related changes in the spatial extent of *Ampelisca* spp. beds and abundances of individual amphipods to changes in organic loading (the period from 1991 through about 1999) and to storm events and subsequent recruitment (around 2005 through 2009; Maciolek et al. 2006, 2011). Following a period of low abundances (2005-2008), average abundances of *Ampelisca* at these stations reached moderately high levels from 2009 through 2011, declined in 2012-2014 to levels comparable to those seen in 2005-2008, increased slightly in 2015 and 2017, and then declined (Figure 3-9). *Ampelisca* abundance in 2022 was lower than 2021 and similar to 2019 and 2020 (Figure 3-9). *Ampelisca* have been most abundant at Stations T03 and T06. For example, *Ampelisca* was more widespread in 2017 (seven stations) compared to 2018 (five stations) although in both years more than 90% of the population was found at Station T03 in the vicinity of the Main Ship Channel (Figure 3-10). Severe winter storms in 2004-2005 and 2012-2013 have been suggested as a major factor in disturbing *Ampelisca* mats. Maintenance dredging conducted in the nearby President Roads Anchorage and Main Ship Channel in 2004-2005 and in 2018 could also have resulted in disturbances (sedimentation or substrate contact from anchored vessels) to stations T03 and T05A. *Ampelisca* abundances at Station T06 increased from 2019 through 2021, then declined by nearly half in 2022.




Figure 3-9. Mean annual abundance of *Ampelisca* spp. averaged over eight Boston Harbor stations (T01-T08), 1991-2022.




Figure 3-10. Spatial distribution of *Ampelisca* spp. at eight Boston Harbor stations (T01-T08), 2014-2022.

Species identifications of *Ampelisca* spp., which began in 1995, indicate that the changes in abundance discussed above are also related to changes in the species of *Ampelisca* spp. present in the Harbor. From 1995 through 2012, *Ampelisca abdita* was the predominant species of *Ampelisca* accounting for 80 to 100% of the individuals collected. Beginning in 2013, the percentage of *A. abdita* declined to nearly zero

and remained low through 2019 ranging from 0 to 20%. In 2022, *A. abdita* accounted for approximately 44% of the *Ampelisca* spp. collected. *Ampelisca vadorum* now accounts for the majority of the *Ampelisca* collected, although abundances of *A. vadorum* do not appear to have changed from 1995 through 2022 (Figure 3-11). These two species of *Ampelisca* have different habitat preferences, *A. abdita* inhabits fine sediments (i.e., fine sand and mud) and *A. vadorum* occurs on coarse sand. *A. abdita* occurs at lower salinities than *A. vadorum* (Mills 1967). Changes over time in the populations of *Ampelisca* relative to changes in salinity were further discussed in Rutecki et al. (2020b).




Figure 3-11. Mean total abundance of the two dominant *Ampelisca* taxa at eight Boston Harbor stations (T01-T08), 1995-2022.

The numbers of species reported for 2022 ranged from 16.0 to 53.0 per station and averaged 39.1 species per station. These values were higher than numbers reported in most years in the 1990s and about average for the 2000s, when species richness exhibited relatively high inter-annual variation (Table 3-2, Figure 3-12). Mean species richness was slightly higher in 2022 than in 2021 but remained within the range of historical variation (Figure 3-12). Stations T02, T03, and T08 were among the most species-rich stations from 2011 through 2021 (Rutecki et al. 2023); in 2022 species richness at each of these three stations was above the average for the Harbor. Station T04 has consistently supported the lowest species richness in this time frame. Stations T01, T05A, and T06 also exhibited species richness above the average for the Harbor in 2022.




Figure 3-12. Mean species richness at eight Boston Harbor stations (T01-T08), 1991-2022.

When averaged across the eight Harbor stations Pielou's evenness and Shannon-Weiner diversity, two measures of community structure, decreased from those seen in 2020 and 2021, and were similar to the values from 2016-2019. Average Pielou's evenness declined from 0.60 in 2015 to 0.52 in 2019, then after increasing in 2021 to 0.59 it has again declined to 0.54 in 2022. Average Shannon-Weiner diversity declined from 3.11 in 2015 to 2.81 in 2019, increased in 2020 to 3.05, and then decreased in 2022 to 2.80 (Figures 3-13 and 3-14, Table 3-2). Within each station, differences in these metrics between 2021 and 2022 were typically small and, in general, spatial patterns in these parameters were similar between the two years suggesting that the integrity of the benthic infaunal community had not changed. Average diversity for the harbor stations, as measured by log-series alpha, increased in 2022 (7.9) compared to 2021 (7.6, Rutecki et al. 2023), but remained well above pre-diversion values (1991-2000; Figure 3-15). The highest log-series alpha diversity in 2022 occurred at Station T08, which is typical as Stations T08 or T01 have historically had the highest log-series alpha diversity in the Harbor. Consistent with recent patterns, log-series alpha diversity was lowest at T04 in 2022 (Table 3-2; Pembroke et al. 2017, Rutecki et al. 2023). The largest change in log-series alpha from 2021 to 2022 was the increase at T06 (7.55) which occurred after the large decline observed from 2020 to 2021 (from 8.95 to 5.34, Rutecki et al. 2023).




Figure 3-13. Mean community evenness at eight Boston Harbor stations (T01-T08), 1991-2022.




Figure 3-14. Mean Shannon-Wiener diversity at eight Boston harbor stations (T01-T08), 1991-2022.




Figure 3-15. Mean log-series alpha diversity at eight Boston Harbor stations (T01-T08), 1991-2022.

3.2.2 Infaunal Assemblages

Multivariate analyses were used to assess spatial patterns in the faunal assemblages at the Boston Harbor sampling stations. Replicates within each station exhibited high similarities in community structure and grouped together. Six main assemblages were identified in a cluster analysis of the 18 samples from 2022 (Figure 3-16a). Patterns identified through cluster analysis were confirmed in the nMDS ordination plot for the 2022 Harbor samples (Figure 3-16b). All assemblages were dominated by polychaetes or oligochaetes (Table 3-5). Spatial patterns in the faunal assemblages of Boston Harbor reflect a gradient from species poor stations C019, T04, and T07, to more diverse stations which tend to be in the Outer Harbor.

The Group IA assemblage was found at Outer Harbor Stations T08 (Hingham/Hull Bay); the Group IB assemblage was at Station T05A (Deer Island); and the Group IC assemblage was found at Outer Harbor to mid-Harbor stations (T01, T02, T03 off Deer Island and the Main Ship Channel, and T06 in outer Quincy Bay); the Group IIA assemblage was found at Station T07 (Quincy Bay); the Group IIB assemblage was found at Station C019 in the Inner Harbor; and the Group IIC assemblage was found at Station T04, at the mouth of Savin Hill Cove, in Dorchester Bay. The assemblage at Station T04 is typically the most different from all of the others. This gradient was also observed in the community parameters (Section 3.2.1) and likely reflects differences in tidal flushing in the Outer Harbor compared to the Inner Harbor along with differing proximity to sources of organic inputs, nutrients, and other possible contaminants.

Main Group I encompassed most of the Outer Harbor stations (T01, T02, T03, T05A, T06, and T08) characterized by relatively high abundance (averaging 2,250 individuals) and species richness (averaging 44 species per collection). Five species of polychaetes and oligochaetes (*Polydora cornuta*, *Aricidea (Acmira) catherinae*, *Tubificoides intermedius*, *Naidinae* sp. 1, and *Limnodriloides medioporus*) had relatively high abundances (Table 3-5). Within Group I, Station T08 was distinct enough to form Group IA while Station T05A formed Group IB. Both subgroups were characterized by high species richness (averaging 50 and 49 species per collection respectively). Group IA was dominated by *Tharyx acutus* and *Ameritella agilis*; while Group IB was dominated by *Polydora cornuta*, *Tubificoides intermedius*, and *Naidinae* sp. 1. Group IC was comprised of Stations T01, T02, T03, and T06 characterized by high abundance and species richness (averaging 48 species per collection). Dominants included *A. catherinae*, *P. cornuta*, *L. medioporus*, and *Naidinae* sp. 1.

The main Group II consisted of Stations C019, T04, and T07 and were dominated by *Streblospio benedicti*, *Tubificoides* sp. 2, and *Tubificoides intermedius* (Table 3-5). Each station in main Group II was distinct enough to form its own subgroup. Station T07 formed Group IIA and was dominated by *T. intermedius*, *A. catherinae*, and *Micronephthys neonata*. This subgroup was characterized by low abundance and species richness (averaging 22 species per collection). Station C019 formed Group IIB dominated by *Tubificoides intermedius*, *Cossura* sp. 1, and *P. cornuta*; total abundance and species richness were low. Station T04 formed Group IIC dominated by *S. benedicti* and *Tubificoides* sp. 2; total abundance was moderate and species richness was low (16 species per collection). Sediment conditions, particularly percent fines and TOC were likely factors in distinguishing the benthic communities among

the Boston Harbor stations. Comparisons of faunal distribution to habitat conditions indicated that patterns in the assemblage distribution follow differences in habitat types at the sampling stations and are associated with sediment type and depth (not shown) at the stations (Figures 3-17). Group IA (T08) and Group IB (T05A) both contain predominantly sand and very low TOC, however the difference in percent sand (95% versus 82%, respectively) between the two stations was enough to affect the species present at each station resulting in two distinct subgroups. Sediments at stations in Group IC ranged from approximately 49 to 80% sand with moderate to low TOC (0.4 to 1.1%). Sediments at Station T07 (Group IIA) were approximately 61% fine sediments with a moderate TOC (2.7%), while sediments at C019 (Group IIB) were predominantly fines (90%) with a moderate TOC (2.1). Station T04 (Group IIC) sediments were predominantly fines and TOC was higher than at other locations (Table 3-1).




Figure 3-16. Results of (a) cluster analysis and (b) nMDS analysis of the 2022 infauna samples.

Table 3-5. Mean abundance of dominant taxa in 2022 Boston Harbor station groups defined by cluster analysis. Bold numbers denote the dominant taxa in the two main assemblages.

Major Taxon	Family	Species	I	IA ^a	IB ^a	IC ^a	II	IIA ^b	IIB ^b	IIC ^b
Bivalvia	Lyonsiidae	<i>Lyonsia arenosa</i>	-	16.0	1.0	8.1	-	-	2	-
	Tellinidae	<i>Ameritella agilis</i>	42.8	133.5	85.0	9.6	5.7	9.0	3.0	5.0
Polychaeta	Capitellidae	<i>Mediomastus californiensis</i>	-	-	76.0	21.1	-	-	7.0	0.5
	Cirratulidae	<i>Chaetozone cf. vivipara</i>	-	-	1.5	0.1	-	-	18.0	-
		<i>Tharyx acutus</i>	74.3	286.0	100.0	15.0	12.8	21.0	7.5	10.0
	Cossuridae	<i>Cossura</i> sp. 1	31.1	-	0.5	46.5	110.5	29.5	301.0	1.0
	Lumbrineridae	<i>Scoletoma hebes</i>	88.8	8.5	3.5	130.3	3.8	11.5	-	-
	Maldanidae	<i>Clymenella torquate</i>	-	-	8.0	29.5	-	5.5	-	-
	Microphthalmidae	<i>Microphthalmus pettiboneae</i>	4.8	0.5	1.0	6.9	12.2	6.5	1.0	29.0
	Nephtyidae	<i>Micronephthys neotena</i>	10.3	-	-	15.5	44.7	54.0	59.5	20.5
		<i>Nephtys incisa</i>	-	-	1.0	0.9	-	2.0	5.0	0.5
	Paraonidae	<i>Aricidea (Acmira) catherinae</i>	611.2	48.5	72.0	886.6	22.3	61.0	0.5	5.5
	Sigalionidae	<i>Pholoe</i> spp.	-	-	-	3.3	-	-	3.0	-
	Phyllodocidae	<i>Eteone longa</i>	-	-	0.5	-	-	-	-	33.0
	Polygordiidae	<i>Polygordius jouinae</i>	-	86.0	76.0	0.3	-	-	-	-
	Spionidae	<i>Dipolydora quadrilobata</i>	-	11.0	0.5	6.4	-	-	-	-
		<i>Polydora cornuta</i>	691.2	10.5	2166.5	492.5	84.5	47.0	203.5	3.0
<i>Spiophanes bombyx</i>		-	4.0	123.5	1.9	-	-	-	-	
<i>Streblospio benedicti</i>		7.9	-	-	11.9	182.5	16.0	63.5	468.0	
Syllidae	<i>Parexogone hebes</i>	-	24.0	57.0	4.5	-	-	-	-	
Oligochaeta	Tubificidae	<i>Limnodriloides medioporus</i>	116.7	-	1.5	174.6	0.5	1.5	-	-
		<i>Naidinae</i> sp. 1	152.6	15.0	256.0	161.1	3.7	11.0	-	-
		<i>Tubificoides intermedius</i>	189.1	2.0	631.5	125.3	142.3	69.0	358.0	-
		<i>Tubificoides</i> sp. 2	-	-	-	-	151.3	-	-	454.0
Amphipoda	Ampeliscidae	<i>Ampelisca</i> spp.	68.2	4.5	2.0	100.6	1.8	0.5	-	5.0
	Phoxocephalidae	<i>Rhepoxynius hudsoni</i>	-	12.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tanaidacea	Tanaissuidae	<i>Tanaissus psammophilus</i>	-	12.5	-	-	-	-	-	-

^a distinct subgroup of Group I^b distinct subgroup of Group II




Figure 3-17. Percent fine sediments superimposed on 2022 nMDS ordination plot of the 2022 Harbor infauna samples.

3.2.3 Selected Stations

Station T01. Infaunal community structure at Station T01, located near Deer Island Flats north of President Roads, has typically exemplified conditions at most stations in the Harbor throughout the survey period. In 2022, species richness, Shannon-Weiner diversity, evenness, and log-series alpha were high at T01. Species richness, diversity, and log-series alpha all declined compared to 2021 but remained within the ranges of values recorded in recent years (Rutecki et al. 2023). Mean abundance declined noticeably (999.5) to just above 2013 value (783.5) which was the lowest observed in the post-diversion period (Figure 3-18). Evenness remained steady in 2022 and was similar to other values observed in the post-diversion period. Species richness, Shannon-Weiner diversity, Pielou's evenness, and log-series alpha were about average for the period since the diversion (Figures 3-19 through 3-22). In 2022, all of these community parameters remained above the low values observed in 2013 (Figures 3-18 through 3-22).

Station C019. Station C019 was initially included in the Harbor sampling program as part of the Sediment-Water Exchange (SWEX) study (Gallagher and Keay 1998). When first sampled in 1989, the benthic infauna consisted of only a few taxa and was overwhelmingly dominated by two polychaete species, *Streblospio benedicti* and *Chaetozone anasimus* (previously called *C. setosa*). Mean abundance peaked in 2013 (2521), declined to a low of 306 in 2014, then steadily increased to above average levels (801) in 2018 (1,333), and then declined in 2021 (223.5) to the lowest value in the time series. Mean abundance increased again in 2022 to 1,054 (Figure 3-18, Table 3-2). Species richness also peaked in 2013 (29), fluctuated near average levels (17.1) from 2016 through 2020, decreased in 2021 to 9.5, then sharply increased to 22.5 in 2022, the highest since 2013 (Figure 3-19). Log series alpha reached its peak value in 2014 and then declined through 2017. The 2022 log series alpha (4.06) increased compared to 2021 (2.08; Figure 3-20). Shannon-Weiner diversity and Pielou's evenness also peaked in 2014 and then declined. In 2022, diversity increased, while evenness decreased compared to 2021 values (Figures 3-21 and 3-22). Despite decreasing values in some recent years, Shannon diversity and evenness remain high indicating the benthic community has improved at this station since the mid-2000's. The polychaete *Micronephthys neotena* (formerly called *Nephtys cornuta* and *Bipalponephtys neotena*) had represented the majority of the infauna found at Station C019 from 2004-2011 (Figure 3-23) but the relative abundance of this species has been substantially lower since 2012 (Pembroke et al. 2013). The community structure has varied since then with *C. anasimus* dominating in 2013 (Pembroke et al. 2014), no numerical dominant in 2014 (Pembroke et al. 2015), the oligochaete *Tubificoides intermedius* dominating in 2015, and *Polydora cornuta* in 2016. *Cossura* sp 1 has historically dominated the community structure from 2017 through 2021. In 2022, the dominant species was *T. intermedius*; *Cossura* sp 1 was the second most abundant species (Figure 3-23, Table 3-5).




Figure 3-18. Mean total abundance at Boston Harbor Stations T01 and C019, 1991-2022.




Figure 3-19. Mean species richness at Boston Harbor Stations T01 and C019, 1991-2022.




Figure 3-20. Mean log-series alpha diversity at Boston Harbor Stations T01 and C019, 1991-2022.




Figure 3-21. Mean Shannon-Weiner diversity at Boston Harbor Stations T01 and C019, 1991-2022.




Figure 3-22. Mean evenness at Boston Harbor stations T01 and C019, 1991-2022.




Figure 3-23. Mean abundance of *Micronephthys neotena* (formerly *Bipalponephthys neotena*) and other dominants and total community abundance at Station C019, 2004-2022.

3.2.4 Temporal Trends

Benthic community parameters for Boston Harbor have exhibited changes since 1991 that correspond well to the management changes defined by Taylor (2006) when a one-year offset is included to account for a lag in benthic community response (Table 3-6). While mean total abundance has not changed dramatically between Period I and Period IV, increases in species richness, evenness, and diversity through the four periods have been notable and the trend in all of these parameters suggests a response to the reduction in pollutant loading into the Harbor. Mean Period IV values for total abundance, number of species, evenness, Shannon-Weiner diversity, and log-series alpha diversity for 2002-2021 were virtually the same as for 2002-2022 (Rutecki et al. 2023) so it is apparent that this trend has continued. It should be noted that the mean total abundance doubled in Period II largely as a result from sharp increases in *Ampelisca* spp. abundance in those years at some stations, especially T03, T06, and T08. For example, abundances of *Ampelisca* spp. at Station T03 were observed at > 6,000 individuals/sample during those years (Maciolek et al. 2008).

Table 3-6. Benthic community parameters for stations T01-T08, summarized by time periods defined by Taylor (2006).

Parameter	Period I (prior to Dec. 1991)		Period II (Dec. 1991-mid 1998)		Period III (mid-1998-Sept. 2000)		Period IV (after Sept. 2000)	
	Mean	Std Err	Mean	Std Err	Mean	Std Err	Mean	Std Err
Total Abundance	2,606.4	343.6	5,513.4	469.0	3,213.3	492.6	2,439.5	104.9
Log-series alpha	4.2	0.3	5.5	0.2	6.2	0.3	7.6	0.2
Shannon-Wiener Diversity (H')	2.1	0.1	2.4	0.1	2.8	0.1	2.9	0.04
Pielou's Evenness (J')	0.48	0.02	0.47	0.01	0.58	0.02	0.55	0.01
Number of Species	25.4	2.1	34.7	1.1	33.6	1.7	41.3	0.8
Years of Data in Period	1991-1992		1993-1998		1999-2001		2002-2022	

3.3 SEDIMENT PROFILE IMAGING

3.3.1 General Benthic Habitat Conditions

Averaged benthic habitat quality within the Harbor (all 61 SPI stations, see Figures 2-1 and 3-24 for locations), as measured by the Organism Sediment Index (OSI, Rhoads and Germano 1986), for 2022 continued a trend of higher quality that started after dips in 2015 and 2018 (Figure 3-25). The low in 2015 was related to a slight decline in averaged apparent redox potential discontinuity (aRPD) layer depth and total biogenic structures. In 2018 the dip was related to dredging of President Roads and Inner Harbor navigation channels that drastically lowered OSI at Stations R02 and R10. For both of these years the modal and mean OSI for the Harbor did remain above 6 (the breakpoint between stress and non-stress benthos). The last year the Harbor's benthic habitat quality dipped below 6 was 2002 (Figure 3-25) and was related to a decline in the number of stations with the highest quality habitat category (OSI = 11).




Figure 3-24. Sediment profile imaging stations (blue points) and soft-bottom sampling locations (stars) delineated by Boston Harbor regions.




Figure 3-25. Maximum, mean, and minimum OSI for all 61 Harbor stations by year. Horizontal line at 6 is the breakpoint between stress and non-stress benthos (>6 represents good benthic habitat). Example images are 15 cm wide.

Benthic habitat and communities within the Harbor have substantially recovered from past excessive organic and nutrient loading due to improvements in wastewater treatment and diversion to the offshore outfall in September 2000 (Diaz et al. 2008, Tucker et al. 2014, Taylor et al. 2020). Much of the change occurred early in the wastewater-improvement project, associated with the biggest reductions in loadings (Blake et al. 1998, Taylor et al. 2020). Poorest habitat quality, lowest OSI scores, were linked to low dissolved oxygen (hypoxic) in Dorchester Bay region between 1994 and 1998 (Figure 3-25).

Basically, Inner Harbor regions improved more through time than Outer Harbor regions. The most pronounced improvements in habitat quality occurred between 1992 to 2002 in the Inner Harbor regions (Inner Harbor/Dorchester Bay, Quincy Bay, and Hingham Bay) and also Deer Island Flats (Figure 3-26). Greatest improvements in habitat quality were directly related to improved water quality (Diaz et al. 2008, Taylor et al. 2020). Deer Island Flats was the Outer Harbor region most affected by wastewater and sludge disposal due to the nearby Deer Island outfalls (Taylor 2010). Even after improvements in wastewater treatment and diversion to the offshore outfall, the inner to outer harbor gradient in habitat quality remains prominent due to the Harbor’s geomorphology and tidal currents that control the distribution of sediments (Signell and Butman 1992).




Figure 3-26. OSI anomaly (year mean - grand mean) for Boston Harbor regions. Higher than grand mean OSI years are positive and lower are negative values. Horizontal dotted line at 6 is the breakpoint between stress and non-stress benthos (>6 represents good benthic habitat).

Habitat quality was consistently highest at the other Outer Harbor regions from 1992 to 2002 with no obvious trends related to improved water quality. In Nantasket Roads with wastewater outfalls operating up to April 1998, habitat quality remained high (OSI >6), except in 1994 when seven of the ten stations within Nantasket Roads had OSI values <6 due to declines in aRPD layer depth. Similarly, in President Roads with outfalls operating up to September 2000 (Taylor 2010), habitat quality was high except in 1999 (6 of 10 stations <6 OSI), 2000 (5 of 10), and 2005 (4 of 10). Reasons for the low OSIs varied but most were related to physical disturbance and shallower aRPD layer depths. In 2022, low OSI outliers (more than two times the interquartile range for a region) occurred at Station R36 in Quincy Bay and Station R16 in Nantasket Roads (Figure 3-27).




Figure 3-27. Box plot of OSI by Harbor region for 2022. Boxes are interquartile range (IR), whiskers are 2IR, outliers are labeled. Black bar in box is the region median. Images are 15 cm wide.

Over the years habitat quality at Station R36 was consistently low (OSI range of 2.0 to 4.5). In the early 1990s kelp fronds were observed and from the mid-1990s to early 2010s shell, pebbles, and encrusting epifauna dominated sediment surfaces with little macro algae present. By the mid-2010s various species of filamentous algae became abundant (Figure 3-28). Station R36 was shallow (about 4 m) and had sediment surfaces dominated by physical processes throughout the monitoring. Over time, the sediments changed from coarse/medium sands to fine sands between the early 1990s and late 2000s, and from early 2010s on, sediments were consistently sandy silts (Figure 3-29).




Figure 3-28. Mosaic of selected SPI from Station R36 in Quincy Bay, about 4 m depth. Images are 15 cm wide. OSI plot is an average of SPI replicates. Horizontal line at 6 is the breakpoint between stress and non-stress benthos (>6 represents good benthic habitat). Blank years indicate that OSI could not be calculated.




Figure 3-29. Matrix of modal grain-size estimated from SPI with stations arranged by Harbor region (See Figure 3-23 for station locations). Blank cells indicate station was not sampled.

Of the ten stations in Nantasket Roads, Station R16 consistently had the lowest habitat quality throughout monitoring. It averaged 5.8 from 1992 to 2022 with a range of 2.5 to 9.0 and was 4.0 in 2022. Both the highest and lowest habitat quality was observed in the 1990s and early 2000s (Figure 3-30). With declining organic and nutrient loading to the harbor, R16 was one of the stations that transitioned from biological to physical processes dominance of surface sediments. *Ampelisca* spp. tube mats were present up to 1997. By the mid-2000s physical processes dominated and by early 2010s sediments coarsened (Figure 3-29).

Between 2021 and 2022, all stations in Hingham Bay increased in OSI with Station R26 being a high outlier with OSI of 9.7 (average 6.7 from 1992 to 2022 with range 3.0 to 9.7). From the early 2000s on, habitat conditions improved at R26, likely related to reduced loading to the Harbor. Sediments were consistently sandy silt/clays over the monitoring (Figures 3-29 and 3-31).




Figure 3-30. Mosaic of selected SPI from Station R16 in Nantasket Roads, about 8 m depth. Images are 15 cm wide. OSI plot is an average of SPI replicates. Horizontal line at 6 is the breakpoint between stress and non-stress benthos (>6 represents good benthic habitat). OSI could not be calculated in 2013.




Figure 3-31. Mosaic of selected SPI from Station R16 in Nantasket Roads, about 8 m depth. Images are 15 cm wide. OSI plot is an average of SPI replicates. Horizontal line at 6 is the breakpoint between stress and non-stress benthos (>6 represents good benthic habitat). OSI could not be calculated in 2013.

Between 1992 and 2022, trends in benthic habitat quality closely followed major transitions in Boston Harbor related to: improvements in wastewater treatment between 1990 and 2000, offshore outfall diversion in 2001, the Harbor's geomorphology, and broader regional climatic factors (Figure 3-32). From 2001 to approximately 2010 habitat quality transitioned from responding to improvements in wastewater treatment and offshore outfall diversion, to responding to other broader regional driving factors. By 2006, inner to outer harbor gradients were less obvious and primarily maintained by the Harbor's complex geomorphology and hydrodynamics. Since the early 2010s, benthic habitat quality appeared to be driven by either harbor-wide forcing factors such as storms and climate variability, or local-scale factors such as dredging or eelgrass restoration. Climate change has already altered sea level, storminess, wind and wave energy, temperature, and salinity in the Boston region, and is expected to play a larger role in the future (USGCRP 2017, Talke et al. 2018, Voorhies et al. 2018, Reguero et al. 2019, Codiga et al. 2019, Werme et al. 2020).

Dredging operations in President Roads, part of the deep draft navigation improvement project (Massport and Corps 2013), did temporarily reduce habitat quality. Station R02, of the southwest end of Deer Island, was dredged in August 2018 just prior to SPI sampling. A year later (August 2019) the effect of dredging was still seen in SPI, but there were indications that recolonization occurred, and surface sediments were starting to be reworked by a combination of physical and biological factors. In 2020, Station R02 was still disturbed from being dredged but did improve in habitat quality, and by 2021 had recovered to pre-dredging conditions only to again decline in OSI in 2022 (Figure 3-33). OSI at R02 was 7.3 prior to dredging, dropped to -4.0 in 2018, climbed to 3.0 in 2019, 5.0 in 2020, was 7.0 in 2021, and 5 in 2022. The area around Station T05A, also of the southwest end of Deer Island, was dredged sometime after August 2018, but by the August 2019 SPI sampling it showed no signs of having been dredged (Figure 3-34). It is likely that T05A being in a deep, high tidal current area (Signell and Butman 1992) either did not need to be dredged or the sandy sediment recovered quickly, and benthic habitat quality was not affected in the long-term by dredging. Long-term average OSI at Station T05A was 6.1, likely related to constant physical stresses of being in a high tidal current area. Station R09, located in the center of the Inner Harbor channel, was within the dredging boundaries and continues to show signs of recent physical disturbance in 2022. Its location makes it prone to disturbance, likely from dredging and deep-draft ship traffic. Prior to dredging R09 was about 12 m deep, from 2018 on it was about 18 m deep. Station R09 tended to have low OSI and appeared disturbed most years (Figure 3-35). Similar light-gray colored clay sediments can be seen at R09 and at R02 (Figures 3-34 and 3-35).

The eelgrass (*Zoostera marina*) bed planted around Station R08 on Deer Island Flats as part of a restoration efforts by MA Division of Marine Fisheries on Deer Island Flats (Evans et al. 2018) continues to do well (Figure 3-36). Prior to 2008, Station R08 was a shallow (about 3 m) fine-sand habitat with macroalgae. The eelgrass bed serves as a biologically complex habitat that at one time was more widespread within Boston Harbor (Leschen et al. 2010, Costello and Kenworthy 2011).




Figure 3-32. Trends in OSI, organic loading, primary production, total phytoplankton, and winter-period storminess over the monitoring years. Horizontal line at OSI of 6 is the breakpoint between stress and non-stress benthos (>6 represents good benthic habitat).




Figure 3-33. Mosaic of selected SPI from Station R02 in President Roads, about 14 m depth prior to dredging, dredged to about 19 m in July-August 2018. Images are 15 cm wide. OSI plot is an average of SPI replicates. Horizontal line at 6 is the breakpoint between stress and non-stress benthos (>6 represents good benthic habitat).




Figure 3-34. Mosaic of selected SPI from Station T05A in President Roads, about 18 m depth. This station may have been dredged in 2018. Images are 15 cm wide. OSI plot is an average of SPI replicates. Horizontal line at 6 is the breakpoint between stress and non-stress benthos (>6 represents good benthic habitat).




Figure 3-35. Mosaic of selected SPI from Station R09 in the Inner Harbor. Images are 15 cm wide. OSI plot is an average of SPI replicates. Horizontal line at 6 is the breakpoint between stress and non-stress benthos (>6 represents good benthic habitat).




Figure 3-36. Mosaic of selected SPI from Station R08 on Deer Island Flats, about 4 m depth. Eelgrass bed was planted in 2007/2008. Images are 15 cm wide. OSI plot is an average of SPI replicates. Horizontal line at 6 is the breakpoint between stress and non-stress benthos (>6 represents good benthic habitat). Blank year indicates OSI could not be calculated. Scale on side of images is in cm.

3.3.2 Long-Term Patterns

Over the 31-year Harbor SPI monitoring (1992 to 2022), data on benthic habitat quality and infaunal community structure were highly variable with a general improving trend through time (Figure 3-37). Most trends over this period reflected complex interactions of harbor-wide driving factors (summarized in Figure 3-32) with the three most important being: 1) Just prior to the start of monitoring, the October 1991 severe storm (known as the “perfect storm”, strongest storm for the region up to that year) coincided with the December 1991 cessation of sludge dumping within the Harbor. The following December, the strongest storm to hit the Boston region since 1984 also occurred; 2) subsequent treatment upgrades, and final diversion to the offshore outfall in September 2000 significantly reduced total organic carbon and nutrient inputs; and 3) regional increase in storminess that increased integrated wind stress on the bottom by about 15% per decade (Blake et al. 1998, Taylor 2010, Taylor et al. 2020, Codiga et al. 2019). The first factor played an important role in the early transition of Boston Harbor from the depauperate communities found in September 1991 to the rapidly changing fauna observed from 1992 on, which was due to the second factor (Gallagher and Keay 1998, Rutecki et al. 2020b). The influence of the third factor (changing climate) over the monitoring period was less clear because of interactions between habitat quality and community structure with past organic and nutrient loading. In addition, the Harbor’s complex geomorphology and currents lessened or amplified impacts due to storms. Between 1984 and 2022 there was an overall increase in storminess over Massachusetts Bay and the Boston region. Regional increases in winter-period IWindS storms were a result of increased storm frequency and storm duration (Codiga et al. 2019, 2023). These changes resulted in an increase in the percentage of time storms were strong enough to affect the bottom to depths of 20 m (Figure 3-38).

To put the long-term changes in storminess into perspective, the October 1991 “perfect storm”, at that time, was the most intense storm to hit Boston on record and the winter of 1991-1992 (1992 winter-period) was the stormiest year (Butman et al. 2008). By 2020 the “perfect storm” became the 4th highest ranked IWindS storm and the 1992 winter-period (October 1991 to May 1992) ranked 19th of the 37-years (Codiga et al. 2019, 2023). The December 1992 storm remains the strongest single storm for the region but overall the 1992-1993 (1993) winter-period ranked 23rd. The top ten storm years occurred between 2003 and 2020 (Figure 3-38). The least stormy years occurred mostly in the 1980s and 1990s, but 2021 and 2022 were among the least stormy, ranked 27th and 28th of the 38 years (Codiga et al. 2023).




Figure 3-37. Long-term trends in benthic habitat quality as measured by OSI and community structure statistics. Regression lines indicate significant upward trends in all indices.




Figure 3-38. Long-term trends in annual winter-period (October to May) integrated wind strength (IWindS), the number of storms in the winter period, and percent time of stormy in the winter period.

3.3.3 Sediments

Bed Roughness. Through time there has been a shift in the relationships between processes structuring bed roughness (Figure 3-39). This was due mainly to declining particulate organic carbon (POC) loading that reduced populations of biogenic structure forming species (such as Ampelescid and Corophiid amphipods) and increasing winter-period storminess that increased the amount of wave energy reaching the bottom and suspending sediments (Rutecki et al. 2020b). Temporal and spatial variations in bed roughness was due to physical and/or biological processes. Wind and tidal currents are the main physical factors that influence both sediment grain-size and bed roughness with bioturbation and biogenic structures being the main biological factors that influence bed roughness (Rhoads and Young 1970, Nowell et al. 1981, Trembanis et al. 2004).




Figure 3-39 Examples of bed roughness being dominated by biological (BIO) and physical (PHY) processes. None of the stations were biologically dominated in 2022. Station R45, from 2021, is in President Roads, R21 is in Nantasket Roads, and R28 is in Hull Bay. Scale on side of image is in cm.

During post sludge disposal and implementation of full primary treatment (Period II from 1992 to 1997) physical processes dominated as levels of organic and other toxic substances were likely too high (Hyland et al. 2003, 2005) for establishment of species that create biogenic structures. The influence of biological processes was strongest from 1998 to 2000 with implementation of full secondary treatment and declining organic production in the Harbor (Period III). The dominance of physical processes returned after offshore diversion (Period IV starting in 2001) and continued through to 2022. In 2022, no station had a primarily biologically dominated bed roughness, 9 stations had a combination of biological and physical processes dominating bed roughness, and 52 were primarily dominated by physical processes.

For the whole Harbor the probability of bed roughness being dominated by physical processes through time was coincident with both declining organic loading (Taylor et al. 2020) and increased sum of winter-

period IWindS storms (Rutecki et al. 2020b). Declining organic production, through its influence on biology, and increased winter-period storm strength, through its influence on physical bottom stress, had the strongest influences on bed roughness. Regionally, the western Inner Harbor (Inner Harbor, Dorchester Bay, Quincy Bay, and Hingham Bay) along with Deer Island Flats were less likely to have biologically dominated sediment surfaces. Outer Harbor regions (President Roads, Nantasket Roads, and Hull Bay) were most likely to be biologically dominated and also maintained biological dominance longer (Figure 3-40).




Figure 3-40 Odds of sediment surface being dominated by physical or biological processes by Harbor region. Gray area is even odds. See Figure 3-39 for examples.

Grain-Size. At most Harbor stations estimated modal grain-size from SPI was consistent from year to year with a fraction of stations either coarsening or fining in modal grain-size from one year to the next (Figure 3-29). In 2022, eight stations changed modal SPI grain-size class. All were slightly coarser in 2022 than in 2021, appearing to be sandier. Modal grain-size at Stations R35, R38, R45, T02, T03, and T07 went from silt-clay to silty-fine-sands. At Station T05A sediments went from fine-sand to fine-medium-sand-silt. At Station R29 there was a layer of fine-sand over fine-sand-silt. Overall, coarsening of modal grain-size from SPI at T-Stations in 2022 (they also coarsened in 2021) was consistent with the long-term trend in median grain-size (from grab samples) where five of the eight stations (T01, T05A, T06, T07, and T08) significantly coarsened (Linear Regressions, $n = 28$, $p = <0.001$ for all 5 stations) by about 0.2 to 0.8 Phi per decade (Figure 3-41). As a point of comparison, there is a 1.0 Phi difference between fine-sand and medium-sand. Stations T02, T03 and T04 were variable in median grain-size but no significant trend was present. Stations T01, T05A, and T08 coarsened one Phi unit, Station T06 coarsened by two Phi units, and Stations T07 by half a Phi unit.

Station T06 in Nantasket Roads, near old Nut Island outfall, went from fine-sand-silt (about 4.5 Phi) to fine-sand (about 2.5 Phi). From 2008 on sediments at T06 were consistently fine-sand (Figure 3-42). Station T05A in President Roads, near old Deer Island outfall, went from very-fine-sand (3 to 4 Phi) to fine-medium-sand (about 2 Phi). From 2014 on sediments at T05A were consistently fine-medium-sand (Figure 3-43).




Figure 3-41. Long-term trend in median grain-size (from grab sediment analysis). Regression line indicates significant coarsening of sediment through time. Phi range for sediment classes: 4-8 for silt, 3-4 for very-fine-sand, 2-3 for fine-sand, 1-2 for medium-sand, 0-1 for coarse-sand.




Figure 3-42. Median sediment grain-size trend at Stations T06. Red symbols have example SPI for the year indicated. Scale on side of images is in cm.




Figure 3-43. Median sediment grain-size trend at Stations T05A. Red symbols have example SPI for the year indicated. Scale on side of images is in cm.

Along with the coarsening of sediment grain-size there was a decline in the percent TOC in the sediments at most T-Stations, even when the percent fines (Silt+Clay) was controlled for (Figure 3-44). Only Stations T04 and T07 showed no linear trend in TOC. Stations T01 and T08 had the largest declines, and even CO19 exhibited a decline in TOC.

The decline in TOC at T01 was related to reduced inputs of TOC and not changes in percent fines. Even as median grain-size coarsened, there was no linear decreasing trend in percent fines at T01. The reduced organic loading through time at T01 can be visualized in SPI through the changing color of sediments. Early 1990s sediments were darker with shallow aRPDs. By the early 2000s sediments were lighter in color and aRPD was deepening, an indication of increased faunal activity and reduced carbon loading to sediments. By the 2020s the change in grain-size at T01 was obvious in SPI (Figure 3-45).




Figure 3-44. Trends in percent total organic carbon (TOC) controlling for percent fines (Silt+Clay) based on linear regression. Only significant trend lines are shown. Size of circles is proportional to percent TOC.




Figure 3-45. Trend in percent total organic carbon (TOC) controlling for percent fines (Silt+Clay) at Station T01, based on linear regression. Size of circles is proportional to percent TOC. Red circles have example SPI for the year indicated. SPI are 15 cm wide.

3.3.4 *Ampelisca* spp. Trends

Long-term occurrence of *Ampelisca* spp. tubes was related to both POC loading (Diaz et al. 2008) and intensity of winter period storms (Rutecki et al. 2020b). As POC loading declined from 1991 to 2001, tube mats also declined, with a lag of about four years, from about 60% of stations, to 0% in 2005 (Figure 3-46). The periods of high, but declining, POC loading (Periods I, II, and III of Taylor 2010) were coincident with six of the ten lowest sum of winter period IWindS storm years (Figures 3-32 and 3-38). Between 2006 and 2022 with essentially low but constant annual organic loading and production, mats peaked again twice occurring at about 30% of stations. Nine of the top ten IWindS winter period storm years occurred between 2006 to 2020 and all ten stormiest years occurred after the September 2000 diversion. Both 2021 and 2022 were among the lowest storm years but tube mats did not expand, with no mats present in 2022.




Figure 3-46. Histogram of *Ampelisca* spp. tubes and tube mats, and worm tube mats for all 61 Harbor stations.

Harbor-wide abundance of *Ampelisca* spp. tubes was low based on the low number of *Ampelisca* spp. tubes per image at the 61 stations. Most stations had 1 to 17 *Ampelisca* spp. tubes per image. *Ampelisca* spp. did occur in grab samples at all eight T-stations in 2022 but declined in overall abundance relative to 2021. Only Stations T03 and T06 had more than 10 individuals per grab (0.04 m²) with T03 dominated by *Ampelisca vadorum* and T06 dominated by *Ampelisca abdita*. Overall all T-stations, *Ampelisca vadorum* (sum of 231 individuals for all T-Stations) was slightly more abundant than *Ampelisca abdita* (sum of 184 individuals). In SPI, Station T03, in President Roads, had highest density of *Ampelisca* tubes (Figure 3-47).




Figure 3-47. Station T03 sediment surface image (40x27 cm) with SPI insert. SPI faceplate is at center bottom of image (Black Line). Tubes that appear to be *Ampelisca vadorum* are scattered across the sediment surface. There is also a Stick/Wip amphipod (*Dyopodos sp.*) up against faceplate (green line). Scales on both images are in cm.

Prior to 2013, *Ampelisca abdita* was the more abundant species. In SPI, four T-stations had *Ampelisca* spp. tubes in 2022 (T01, T03, T05A, and T06). While mat densities of *Ampelisca* spp. tubes did not occur in 2022, overall the number of stations with tubes present increased to about half (31 of 61 stations). *Ampelisca* spp. tubes were again observed on Deer Island Flats in 2022 after being absent in 2020 and 2021. Similarly, *Ampelisca* spp. tubes were again observed in Hingham Bay after being absent in 2021. Inner Harbor/Dorchester Bay and Quincy Bay were the other two regions to have years with no *Ampelisca* spp. tubes. Western Harbor regions lost most tube mats early as organic loading declined. Eastern Harbor regions held on to tube mats till mid 2000s and oscillated in numbers up to 2022 (Figure 3-48). Worm tube mats were present at 10% (6 of 61) of stations in 2020, in 2021 only Station CO19 in the Inner Harbor had a worm tube mat, and in 2022 Stations R10 and T04 had worm tubes.




Figure 3-48. Histogram of *Ampelisca* spp. tubes and tube mats by Harbor regions

From 2005 on, the combination of lower food availability (internal and external organic sources) and increasing storminess were the likely factors leading to lower mat numbers and higher variability of mats from year to year. Diaz et al. (2008) estimated that the optimal annual organic load for maintaining large areas of amphipod tube mats was around 500 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹. Above and below this level the area of tube mats in Boston Harbor declined. From 1990 to 2000, total organic load in the Harbor declined from over 1000 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ to about 500 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹, and between 2003 to 2009 it averaged about 300 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹

(Taylor et al. 2020). While not a measure of primary production, annual averaged phytoplankton abundance measured at the mouth of the Harbor (Station F23 south of Deer Island, Libby et al. 2021) was well below the long-term average for 2022. For the monitoring region, phytoplankton abundance has been on a downward trend from 2008 to 2022 (Libby et al. 2022, Borkman 2023). It is likely that increasing storminess across the region, which would tend to disrupt tube mats, is also a factor in fewer stations with *Ampelisca* spp. tube mats from 2018 to 2022. But lack of mats in 2022, a low storm year, indicates that availability of food may be more important to establishing dense populations of *Ampelisca* spp.

SPI Summary

Overall, benthic habitats continue to maintain good ecological conditions as assessed by the Organism Sediment Index (OSI). OSI is a measure of sediment and infaunal habitat quality (Rhoads and Germano 1986). The continued tendency for OSI to trend upward in recent years, particularly in western harbor regions is likely related to long-term oxidation of legacy sediment organic matter (Tucker et al. 2014), declines in organic inputs (Taylor 2010), and lowered primary production (Taylor et al. 2020). Impact from the 2018 dredging was again obvious at Station R02, but not at Stations T05A. Strength of winter period storms was below average for both 2021 and 2022 (Codiga et al. 2023), with 2021 ranked as the 27th and 2022 the 28th least stormy of the 32 years from 1991-2022. Despite being a low storm year, physical processes continue to dominate sediment surfaces throughout the Harbor. Dominant processes flipped from biological to physical in the mid-2000s. Sediments continue to appear oxic (aerobic vs. anaerobic), with no indication of organic buildup at any of the 61 stations. The abundance of *Ampelisca* spp. tubes was low, but they were observed at 50% of stations (31 of 61). There were no *Ampelisca* spp. tube mats for the third time (2005, 2013, 2022) since 1991. Inner to outer harbor gradient remains prominent primarily due to hydrodynamics, sediment grain-size, and sediment organic content.

4. CONCLUSION

Overall, the improvements in wastewater treatment and moving the outfall offshore have led to improvements in water quality and benthic habitat quality within Boston Harbor by reducing organic and nutrient loading and favoring processes that enhance bioturbation or the mixing of sediment by organisms. From 1990 to the present, the predominant origin of sediment organic matter in Boston Harbor has shifted from sewage to marine derived. Recovery of benthic communities has enhanced bioturbation rates by infaunal and epibenthic species and has led to more aerobic and ‘healthier’ sediment conditions by enhancing remineralization of legacy organics and nutrients stored in the sediments from years of sewage disposal (see Tucker et al. 2014 and Taylor et al. 2020 for details on sediment recovery). Physical and biological properties of the soft substrate in Boston Harbor in 2022 exhibited minor changes from recent years but were consistent with longer-term trends (Rutecki et al. 2023). Concentrations of both TOC and *Clostridium perfringens*, indicators of organic enrichment and deposition from municipal wastewater discharge, have remained low at most stations compared to the levels occurring prior to the offshore diversion.

Community structure measures also continue to point to improving benthic conditions. Since municipal wastewater and sludge discharges have been eliminated from the Harbor, total abundance has trended downward, particularly for opportunistic species such as *Capitella capitata*. Species richness, while variable, has trended upward as have evenness (J'), Shannon diversity (H'), and log series alpha diversity with all measures appearing to reach static levels in recent years. Although abundances of individual taxa, such as the dominants *Ampelisca* spp., *Aricidea catherinae*, and *Polydora cornuta* have varied over time, the infaunal community structure and evidence from sediment profile imaging suggest that these changes relate more to normal physical disturbances than organic stress in the years since wastewater impacts to the Harbor have been reduced. The trends observed in previous years, including reduction of indicators of organic enrichment and increases in species diversity, that persisted in the 2022 survey are consistent with the recovery of benthic habitats from decades of inadequately treated sewage discharges.

5. REFERENCES

- Agresti A. 1990. Categorical data analysis. New York: Wiley. 558 p.
- Blake JA, Maciolek NJ, Rhoads DC, Gallagher ED, Williams IP. 1998. Boston Harbor soft-bottom benthic monitoring program: 1996 and 1997 results. Boston: Massachusetts Water Resources Authority. Report 1998-15. 182 p.
- Borkman DG. 2023. Phytoplankton data from MWRA presentation. MWRA Workshop on 2022 Monitoring Results. Battelle, Norwell, MA. April 13, 2023
- Butman B, Sherwood CR, Dalyander PS. 2008. Northeast storms ranked by wind stress and wave-generated bottom stress observed in Massachusetts Bay, 1990–2006. *Continental Shelf Research* 28:1231-1245.
- Clarke KR. 1993. Non-parametric multivariate analyses of changes in community structure. *Aust. J. Ecol.*, 18:117-143.
- Clarke KR, Green RH. 1988. Statistical design and analysis for a ‘biological effects’ study. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 46:213-226.
- Codiga D, Dalyander S, Keay K. 2019. Integrated wind and wave stresses reveal long-term increases in Gulf of Maine storminess. Gulf of Maine 2050 International Symposium, Abstract Booklet, 28 p. Gulf of Maine Research Institute.
- Codiga D, Dalyander S, Keay K. 2023. Data update from Codiga et al. 2019. Integrated wind and wave stresses reveal long-term increases in Gulf of Maine storminess. Gulf of Maine 2050 International Symposium, Abstract Booklet, p28. Gulf of Maine Research Institute.
- Constantino J, Leo W, Delaney MF, Epelman P, Rhode S. 2014. Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) for Sediment Chemistry Analyses for Harbor and Outfall Monitoring, Revision 4 (February 2014). Boston: Massachusetts Water Resources Authority. Report 2014-02. 53 p.
- Costello CT, Kenworthy JD. 2011. Twelve-year mapping and change analysis of eelgrass (*Zostera marina*) areal abundance in Massachusetts (USA) identifies statewide declines. *Estuaries and Coasts* 34:232-242.
- Diaz RJ, Rhoads DC, Blake JA, Kropp RK, Keay KE. 2008. Long-term trends in benthic habitats related to reduction in wastewater discharges to Boston Harbor. *Estuaries and Coasts* 31:1184–1197.
- Evans T, Carr J, Frew K, Rousseau M, Ford K, Boeri A. 2018. Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries 2010 to 2016 HubLine eelgrass restoration. Final Report. Submitted to: Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection. 25 p. plus appendices.
- Gallagher ED, Keay KE. 1998. Organisms-Sediment-Contaminant Interactions in Boston Harbor. p. 89-132 In: *Contaminated Sediments in Boston Harbor*. K.D. Stolzenbach and EE. Adams (eds.) Marine Center for Coastal Processes, MIT Sea Grant College Program. Cambridge, MA 02139.
- Hyland, JL, WL Balthis, VD Engle, ER Long, JF Paul, JK Summers, and RF Van Dolah. 2003. Incidence of Stress in Benthic Communities Along the U.S. Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico Coasts within Different Ranges of Sediment Contamination from Chemical Mixtures. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 81:149–161.
- Hyland J, Balthis L, Karakassis I, Magni P, Petrov A, Shine J, Vestergaard O, Warwick R. 2005. Organic carbon content of sediments as an indicator of stress in the marine benthos. *Marine ecology progress series* 295:91-103.
- Kubiak DA, Smoske NS, Coughlin K, Rando L, Wu D, Whittaker E. 2016. Combined Sewer Overflow Control Plan Annual Progress Report 2015. 2015 csoar-r4. 58 p.

- Leschen AS, Ford KH, Evans NT. 2010. Successful eelgrass (*Zostera marina*) restoration in a formerly eutrophic estuary (Boston Harbor) supports the use of a multifaceted watershed approach to mitigating eelgrass loss. *Estuaries and coasts* 33:1340-1354.
- Libby PS, Borkman DG, Geyer WR, Turner JT, Costa AS, Goodwin C, Wang J, Codiga DL. 2022. 2021 Water column monitoring results. Boston: Massachusetts Water Resources Authority. Report 2022-13. 61 p.
- Maciolek NJ, Dahlen DT, Diaz RJ. 2011. 2010 Boston Harbor Benthic Monitoring Report. Boston: Massachusetts Water Resources Authority. Report 2011-18. 20 p. plus appendices.
- Maciolek NJ, Diaz RJ, Dahlen DT, Doner SA. 2008. 2007 Boston Harbor Benthic Monitoring Report. Boston: Massachusetts Water Resources Authority. Report ENQUAD 2008-22. 77 p. plus appendices.
- Maciolek NJ, Diaz RJ, Dahlen DT, Williams IP. 2006. 2005 Boston Harbor Benthic Monitoring Report. Boston: Massachusetts Water Resources Authority. Report ENQUAD 2006-24. 87 p. plus appendices.
- Massport and Corps (Massachusetts Port Authority, US Army Corps of Engineers). 2013. Final feasibility report and final supplemental environmental impact statement/Massachusetts final environmental impact report for deep draft navigation improvement. Final Feasibility Report. New England District. 340 p.
- Mills EL. 1967. The biology of an ampeliscid amphipod crustacean sibling species pair. *Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada* 24:305–355.
- Nowell ARM, Jumars PA, Eckman JE. 1981. Effects of biological activity on the entrainment of marine sediments. *Marine Geology* 42:155-172.
- Parmenter CM, Bothner MH. 1993. The distribution of *Clostridium perfringens*, a sewage indicator, in sediments of coastal Massachusetts. US Geological Survey Open File Report 93-8.
- Pembroke AE, Diaz RJ, Nestler EC. 2013. Harbor Benthic Monitoring Report: 2012 Results. Boston: Massachusetts Water Resources Authority. Report 2013-13. 41 p.
- Pembroke AE, Diaz RJ, Nestler EC. 2014. Harbor Benthic Monitoring Report: 2013 Results. Boston: Massachusetts Water Resources Authority. Report 2014-12. 43 p.
- Pembroke AE, Diaz RJ, Nestler EC. 2015. Boston Harbor Benthic Monitoring Report: 2014 Results. Boston: Massachusetts Water Resources Authority. Report 2015-10. 37 p.
- Pembroke AE, Diaz RJ, Nestler EC. 2017. Boston Harbor Benthic Monitoring Report: 2016 Results. Boston: Massachusetts Water Resources Authority. Report 2017-10. 45 p.
- Reguero BG, Losada IJ, Méndez FJ. 2019. A recent increase in global wave power as a consequence of oceanic warming. *Nature Communications* (2019) 10:205. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-08066-0>.
- Rhoads DC, Germano JD. 1986. Interpreting long-term changes in benthic community structure: a new protocol. *Hydrobiologia* 142:291-308.
- Rhoads DC, Young DK. 1970. The influence of deposit-feeding organisms on sediment stability and community trophic structure. *Journal of Marine Research* 28:150–178.
- Rutecki D, Nestler E, Francis C. 2020. Quality Assurance Project Plan for Benthic Monitoring 2020–2023. Boston: Massachusetts Water Resources Authority. Report 2020-04, 89 p. plus Appendices.
- Rutecki DA, Nestler EC, Diaz RJ. 2020. 2019 Boston Harbor benthic monitoring report. Boston: Massachusetts Water Resources Authority. Report 2020-12. 69 p + appendix.

- Rutecki DA, Nestler EC, Diaz RJ. 2023. 2021 Boston Harbor benthic monitoring report. Boston: Massachusetts Water Resources Authority. Report 2022-16. 53 p. plus Appendix.
- Signell RP, Butman B. 1992. Modeling tidal exchange and dispersion in Boston Harbor. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 97:15591–16606.
- Talke SA, Kemp AC, Woodruff J. 2018. Relative sea level, tides, and extreme water levels in Boston Harbor from 1825 to 2018. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 123:3895-3914.
- Taylor DI. 2006. Update of patterns of wastewater, river, and non-point source loadings to Boston Harbor (1990-2005). Boston: Massachusetts Water Resources Authority. Report 2006-22. 77p.
- Taylor DI. 2010. The Boston Harbor Project, and large decreases in loadings of eutrophication-related materials to Boston Harbor. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 60:609–619.
- Taylor DI, Oviatt CA, Giblin AE, Tucker J, Diaz RJ, Keay K. 2020. Wastewater input reductions reverse historic hypereutrophication of Boston Harbor, USA. *Ambio* 49:187-196.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-019-01174-1>.
- Trembanis AC, Wright LD, Friedrichs CT, Green MO, Hume T. 2004. The effects of spatially complex inner shelf roughness on boundary layer turbulence and current and wave friction: Tairua embayment, New Zealand. *Continental Shelf Research*. 24:1549-1571.
- Tucker J, Giblin AE, Hopkinson CS, Kelsey SW, Howes BL. 2014. Response of benthic metabolism and nutrient cycling to reductions in wastewater loading to Boston Harbor, USA. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 151:54-68.
- US EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). 2021. News Releases: Region 1. City of Quincy Agrees to Remedy Discharges of Stormwater Containing Sewage. June 9, 2021.
<https://www.epa.gov/newsreleases/city-quincy-agrees-remedy-discharges-stormwater-containing-sewage#:~:text=The%20cost%20of%20the%20remedial,stormwater%20and%20sanitary%20sewer%20systems.>
- United States of America v. City of Quincy, MA*. 2021. United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts. Case 1:19-cv-10483-RGS. Document 26-1. Filed 06/09/21. United States of America, Plaintiff, v. City of Quincy, MA, Defendant, and Commonwealth of Massachusetts. Consent Decree. 233 p.
<https://cms7files1.revize.com/quincyma/Document%20Center/Department/Public%20Works/Regulatory%20Compliance/City%20of%20Quincy%20Consent%20Decree%202021.pdf>
- USGCRP (U.S. Global Change Research Program). 2017. Climate Science Special Report: Fourth National Climate Assessment, Volume I [Wuebbles, D.J., D.W. Fahey, K.A. Hibbard, D.J. Dokken, B.C. Stewart, and T.K. Maycock (eds.)]. U.S. Global Change Research Program, Washington, DC, USA, 470 pp, doi: 10.7930/J0J964J6.
- Voorhies KJ, Wootton JT, Henkel SK. 2018. Longstanding signals of marine community structuring by winter storm wave-base. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 603:135-146.
- Warwick RM. 1993. Environmental impact studies on marine communities: pragmatical considerations. *Aust. J. Ecol.*, 18:63-80.
- Werme C, Keay KE, Libby PS, Taylor DI, Codiga DL, Charlestra L, Carroll SR. 2020. 2019 Outfall monitoring overview. Boston: Massachusetts Water Resources Authority. Report 2020-11. 58 p.



Massachusetts Water Resources Authority

33 Tafts Avenue • Boston, MA 02128

www.mwra.com

617-242-6000