Semiannual water column monitoring report

July - December 2002

Massachusetts Water Resources Authority

Environmental Quality Department Report ENQUAD 2003-07



Citation:

Libby PS, Mansfield AD, Keller AA, Turner JT, Borkman DG, Oviatt CA, Mongin CJ. 2003. Semiannual water column monitoring report: July – December 2002. Boston: Massachusetts Water Resources Authority. Report ENQUAD 2003-07. 290 p.

SEMIANNUAL WATER COLUMN MONITORING REPORT

July – December 2002

Submitted to

Massachusetts Water Resources Authority Environmental Quality Department 100 First Avenue Charleston Navy Yard Boston, MA 02129 (617) 242-6000

prepared by

P. Scott Libby¹ Alex D. Mansfield¹ Aimee A. Keller² Jeff T. Turner³ David G. Borkman² Candace A. Oviatt² Claudia J. Mongin¹

¹ Battelle Duxbury Operations Duxbury, MA 02332

² University of Rhode Island Graduate School of Oceanography Narragansett, RI 02882

> ³ University of Massachusetts at Dartmouth North Dartmouth, MA 02747

> > April 2003

Report No. 2003-07

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Massachusetts Water Resources Authority (MWRA) has collected water quality data in Massachusetts and Cape Cod Bays for the Harbor and Outfall Monitoring (HOM) Program since 1992. This monitoring is in support of the HOM Program mission to assess the potential environmental effects of the relocation of effluent discharge from Boston Harbor to Massachusetts Bay, which occurred on September 6, 2000. The data from 1992 through September 1, 2000 were collected to establish baseline water quality conditions. The current outfall monitoring is expected to provide the means to detect significant departure from that baseline. The surveys have been designed to evaluate water quality on both a high-frequency basis for a limited area in the vicinity of the outfall site (nearfield) and a low-frequency basis over an extended area throughout Boston Harbor, Massachusetts Bay, and Cape Cod Bay (farfield). This semi-annual report summarizes water column monitoring results for the ten surveys conducted from July to December 2002.

Over the course of the HOM program, a general trend in water quality events has emerged from the data collected in Massachusetts and Cape Cod Bays. The trends are evident even though the timing and year-to-year manifestations of these events are variable. The summer is generally a period of strong stratification, depleted surface water nutrients, and a relatively stable mixed-assemblage phytoplankton community dominated by microflagellates. In the fall, stratification breaks down supplying nutrients to surface waters and often resulting in the development of a fall phytoplankton bloom. The lowest dissolved oxygen concentrations are usually observed in the nearfield bottom water in October prior to the fall overturn of the water column. By late fall or early winter, the water column is usually well mixed and has returned to winter conditions.

These trends were generally adhered to in 2002 although the major fall bloom started in August which is earlier than usual. The water column remained stratified throughout the bays until October when the nearshore areas of Massachusetts Bay and Cape Cod Bay had become well mixed. The water column remained weakly stratified at the deeper nearfield, offshore, and boundary stations. By early November the water column had become well mixed throughout the nearfield. The biological highlights during this time period included an early fall bloom of centric diatoms and a minor late fall bloom that failed to achieve elevated phytoplankton abundances. The early fall bloom in August and September was evident as peak chlorophyll concentrations, production rates and phytoplankton abundances. The early occurrence of the bloom may have been associated with the decimation of zooplankton populations by ctenophore (*Mnemiopsis leidyi*) predation. The late fall bloom was apparent in the chlorophyll and production data, but did not result in a large increase in phytoplankton abundance likely due to light limitation (well mixed water column and decreasing light availability).

The primary physical characteristic of this period was the overturn of the water column and the return to winter conditions. Regionally, seasonal stratification had deteriorated at the coastal stations and had begun to weaken at the offshore stations by the October survey. In the nearfield, the pycnocline had weakened by early October, but the water column was not well mixed until early November. In August, an upwelling signature of cooler, more nutrient rich waters was observed at coastal and nearfield stations. The entrainment of nutrients into these nearshore waters may have enhanced productivity during the early fall bloom.

The general trend in nutrient concentrations during the 2002 July to December period was similar to previous baseline monitoring years. Nutrients were depleted in the surface waters during the summer due to biological utilization and increased in concentration with weakening stratification and increased mixing. Additional nutrients were also supplied to nearshore coastal and nearfield waters via upwelling. The increase in nutrients due to mixing appeared to be offset somewhat in November due to elevated rates of production and nutrient utilization. By December, nutrient concentrations had returned to elevated winter levels. Ammonium continued to be a clear indicator of the effluent plume

in the nearfield. Elevated NH_4 concentrations were measured at a few offshore and boundary stations in October. This occurrence is being examined in more detail given the importance of understanding the reason contributing factors. It is extremely unlikely that they are related to the outfall due to the distances involved.

Chlorophyll, production and phytoplankton abundance peaked during the early fall bloom that was observed from August through September. There was a steady increase in nearfield chlorophyll concentrations over this time period peaking in late September. Phytoplankton abundance peaked in early August at $>5 \times 10^6$ cells L⁻¹ in the nearfield and was dominated by centric diatoms. The nearfield mean abundance for centric diatoms ranged from 1.3 to 2.6 x 10⁶ cells L⁻¹ during the August to September bloom. The diatom bloom was dominated by *Dactyliosolen fragilissimus, Leptocylindrus danicus,* and *Skeletonema costatum.* The early fall bloom was also evident in nearshore areas of Massachusetts and Cape Cod Bay during the late August survey when maximum levels of chlorophyll, production and phytoplankton abundance were observed throughout the bays for this time period. The highest values were measured in Boston Harbor with area mean total phytoplankton and centric diatom abundance reaching more than 8 and 6 x 10⁶ cells L⁻¹, respectively. The major centric diatom bloom was constrained to the harbor, coastal, and nearfield waters with a minor diatom bloom evident in Cape Cod Bay. Total phytoplankton and diatom abundances at the offshore and boundary stations were relatively low.

The fall bloom usually occurs in October in Massachusetts Bay as had been observed during most of the previous years, although the timing of the bloom as defined by peaks in biomass, production, or phytoplankton is not always consistent. The early occurrence of the fall bloom in 2002 may have been due to predation by ctenophores (*Mnemiopsis leidyi*), which were observed in high numbers from late August through November. There was a sharp decline in nearfield zooplankton abundance from early to late August. Although very low, the nearfield zooplankton abundance was high in comparison to harbor and some coastal stations where abundance ranged from only 200 to 3,000 animals m⁻³. These very low abundances suggest that grazing pressure on phytoplankton was minimal and conducive to a bloom. These conditions may have been further enhanced by the input of additional nutrients into the nearshore waters via the outfall and upwelling, which may have entrained both nutrient rich bottom waters and the effluent plume into the upper water column.

By October, zooplankton abundances were among the lowest ever recorded for the MWRA program. During this period, the highest area mean abundance was only \sim 5,000 animals m⁻³ (boundary stations). Mean zooplankton abundance in Boston Harbor was only 100 animals m⁻³.

Even though grazing pressure had likely been further reduced by October, the fall diatom bloom had terminated in the nearfield between the late September and October surveys. A late fall bloom was, however, apparent in the chlorophyll and production data in November, but did not result in a large increase in phytoplankton abundance. There was a slight increase in total phytoplankton abundance from October to November that was primarily due to an increase in diatoms. The increase in diatoms was concomitant with elevated chlorophyll concentrations and production rates, but did not result in a substantial late fall bloom as the water column had become well mixed and the phytoplankton were likely light limited.

There were no threshold exceedances during the July – December 2002 period for chlorophyll, dissolved oxygen, or nuisance phytoplankton species. The 2002 nearfield survey mean bottom water minima for DO concentration and %saturation (6.43 mgL⁻¹ and 71.25%) were below the caution (and warning for %saturation) threshold values but above the background values (5.75 mgL⁻¹ and 64.31%). Mean DO %saturation in the nearfield bottom water also dropped below the caution threshold during both September surveys. The survey mean bottom water minima for Stellwagen Basin stations was higher than in the nearfield, but as in the nearfield the minima DO %saturation (75.13%) was below

the caution threshold. As the nearfield DO concentration and %saturation minima and Stellwagen DO %saturation minima were above established background threshold values, there was no threshold exceedance for dissolved oxygen. The summer (May to August) *Phaeocystis* threshold (334 cells L⁻¹) was exceeded (1,490 cells L⁻¹), but this was due to the persistence of the April bloom rather than any summer event that may suggest a problem. Relatively low abundances persisted in the nearfield into early May, but *Phaeocystis* was not observed from again from mid May through the end of 2002.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXE	CUTIV	E SUM	IMARY		i	
1.0	INTR	ODUC	TION			
	1.1	Progra	ım Overvi	ew		
	1.2	Organ	ization of	the Semiannual Report		
•				-		
2.0	MET	HODS.				
	2.1	Data C	Collection			
	2.2	Sampl	ing Schem	na		
	2.3	Opera	tions Sum	mary		
3.0	DAT	DATA SUMMARY PRESENTATION				
	3.1	Define				
	3.2	Sensor	Sensor Data			
	3.3	Nutrie	nts			
	3.4	Biological Water Column Parameters				
	3.5	Plankt	on			
	3.6	Additi	onal Data			
4.0	DEGI				4 1	
4.0	KESU	Dhuri	F WAIEF	COLUMN MEASUREMENTS		
	4.1			turo) Solinity Donoity		
		4.1.1		Lure Sainnity Density		
			4.1.1.1	Nortical Distribution		
		412	4.1.1.2 Tronomia	venucal Distribution		
	12	4.1.2 Diolog	rical Char	someter results		
	4.2		Nutrients			
		4.2.1	1211	Uprizontal Distribution		
			4.2.1.1	Vertical Distribution		
		122	H.2.1.2 Chloroph			
		4.2.2		Horizontal Distribution		
			4.2.2.1	Vertical Distribution	л_11	
		423	T.Z.Z.Z Dissolve	d Oxygen		
		т.2.5	4 2 3 1	Regional Trends of Dissolved Oxygen		
			4232	Nearfield Trends of Dissolved Oxygen	4-13	
	4.3	Summ	arv of Wa	ter Column Results	4-13	
5.0	DDOI				- 1	
5.0	PROL		VII Y, KEX	SPIRATION, AND PLANKTON RESULTS		
	5.1	Produ		1 /*		
		5.1.1	Areal Pro	Dauction		
		5.1.2	Draduatio	an at Specific d Dantha		
	5.2	5.1.5 Production at Specified Depths				
	5.2	Kespii	Respiration			
		5.2.1	Water Co	Jumm Respiration		
	5 2	5.2.2 Carbon-Specific Kespiration				
	3.3	Plankton Kesults				
		5.5.1	5 2 1 1	Sansonal Trands in Total Division Linudance		
			J.J.1.1 5 2 1 2	Nearfield Phytoplankton Community Structure		
			5.3.1.2 5 3 1 2	Farfield Phytonlankton Assemblages		
			5.5.1.5 5 3 1 Λ	Nuisance Algae		
			J.J.1.4	Ivuisance Aigae		

	5.3.2 Z 5.	Zooplan	Zooplankton	
		5.3.2.1	Seasonal Trends in Total Zooplankton Abundance	
		5.3.2.2	Nearfield Zooplankton Community Structure	
		5.3.2.3	Farfield Zooplankton Assemblages	
	5.4 Sumr	nary of Wa	ater Column Biological Results	
6.0	SUMMARY	OF MAJ	OR WATER COLUMN EVENTS	6-1
7.0	REFERENC	CES		7-1

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1. Water quality surveys for WF028-WN02H July to December 2002	1-1
Table 2-1. Station types and numbers (five depths collected unless otherwise noted)	2-2
Table 2-2. Nearfield water column sampling plan (3 pages)	2-4
Table 2-3. Farfield water column sampling plan (3 pages)	2-7
Table 3-1. Method detection limits	3-4
Table 3-2. Summary of in situ temperature, salinity, and density data for July - December 2002	3-5
Table 3-3. Summary of <i>in situ</i> beam attenuation, dissolved oxygen concentration, and dissolved	
oxygen % saturation data for July - December 2002.	3-6
Table 3-4. Summary of <i>in situ</i> fluorescence, chlorophyll <i>a</i> , and phaeophytin data for	
July - December 2002.	3-7
Table 3-5. Summary of ammonium, nitrite, and nitrite+nitrate data for July - December 2002	3-8
Table 3-6. Summary of phosphate, silicate, and biogenic silica data for July - December 2002	3-9
Table 3-7. Summary of particulate carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorous data for	
July - December 2002.	3-10
Table 3-8. Summary of dissolved organic carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorous data for	
July - December 2002.	3-11
Table 3-9. Summary of urea and total suspended solids data for July - December 2002	3-12
Table 3-10. Summary of production parameters alpha and Pmax data for July - December 2002.	2 12
Production is only measured in nearfield and Boston Harbor (stations N04, N18, and F23)	3-13
Table 3-11. Summary of areal production, depth-averaged chlorophyll-specific production,	2 1 4
and respiration data for July - December 2002.	3-14
Table 3-12. Summary of total phytoplankton, centric diatoms, and total zooplankton data for	2 15
Table 2.12 Symmetry of Alexandriver and Disconstinue and Discussion description of the second states of the second	3-13
data for July December 2002	2 16
Table 5.1 Nearfield and farfield averages and ranges of abundance $(10^6 \text{ Calls L}^{-1})$ of whole water	
nbytonlankton	57
Table 5-2 Nearfield and farfield average and ranges of abundance (Cells L^{-1})	
for $>20 \text{ µM}$ screeped directionallates	5 8
Table 5.3 Nearfield and farfield average and ranges of abundance $(10^3 \text{ Animals m}^3)$ for	
zoonlankton	5-11
Table 6-1 Contingency plan threshold values for water column monitoring	
rube of 1. Contingency plan the short values for water column monitoring	0-5

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1. Locations of MWRA offshore outfall, nearfield stations and USGS mooring	1-3
Figure 1-2. Locations of farfield stations and regional station groupings	1-4
Figure 1-3. Locations of stations and selected transects	1-5
Figure 3-1. USGS Temperature and salinity mooring data compared with N18 and N21 data	3-17
Figure 3-2. MWRA and Battelle In Situ Wetstar fluorescence data	3-18
Figure 4-1. Time-series of average surface and bottom water density (σ_T) in the nearfield	4-15
Figure 4-2. Sigma-t depth vs. time contour profiles for stations N10, N18, and N04	4-16
Figure 4-3. Temperature surface contour plot for farfield survey WF02B (Aug 02)	4-17
Figure 4-4. Time-series of average surface and bottom water temperature in the nearfield	4-18
Figure 4-5. Time-series of average surface and bottom water salinity in the nearfield	4-19
Figure 4-6. Precipitation at Logan Airport and river discharges for the Charles and	
Merrimack Rivers	4-20
Figure 4-7. Density transect plots for Boston-Nearfield transect in farfield surveys	
WF02B (Aug 02) and WF02E (Oct 02)	4-21
Figure 4-8. Temperature transect plots along Boston-Nearfield transect for farfield survey WF02B 02) and WF02E (Oct 02)	(Aug 4-22
Figure 4-9 Temperature/salinity distribution for all depths during (a) August and (b) October	4-23
Figure 4-10 Sigma-t vertical nearfield transect for surveys WN028 WN02D and WN02F	4-24
Figure 4-11 Salinity and sigma-t vertical nearfield transect for survey WN02G	4-25
Figure 4-12. Temperature vertical nearfield transect for surveys WN028. WN02D, and WN02F	
Figure 4-13 Beam attenuation surface contour plot for farfield survey WF02B (Aug 02)	4-27
Figure 4-14. Beam attenuation vertical (a) Boston-Nearfield and (b) Nearfield Transects for	
survey WF02B.	4-28
Figure 4-15 Fluorescence vertical (a) Boston-Nearfield and (b) Nearfield Transects	
for survey WF02B	4-29
Figure 4-16. DIN surface contour plot for farfield survey WF02B (Aug 02)	4-30
Figure 4-17. Nitrate surface contour plot for farfield survey WF02E (Oct 02)	4-31
Figure 4-18. Ammonium and nitrate vertical plots along Boston-Nearfield transects for	
survey WF02B	4-32
Figure 4-19. DIN versus salinity for farfield surveys WF02B and WF02E	4-33
Figure 4-20. Nitrate vertical nearfield transect for surveys WN028, WN02D, and WN02F	4-34
Figure 4-21. Ammonium concentrations at each of the five sampling depths for entire	1 25
Figure 4.22 Ammonium concentrations at each of the five sampling double for antire	
rigure 4-22. Animomum concentrations at each of the five sampling depths for entire nearfield during WN02G	1 36
Figure 4.22 DIN versus PO, for peorfield surveys WN020 WE02E and WN02H	4-30
Figure 4.24. Time series of NO. at three representative nearfield stations during the	
summer winter 2002	1 38
Figure 4.25 Elucroscence mid denth contour plots for farfield survey WE02B	4-30 1 20
Figure 4-25. The series of average fluorescence in the nearfield – surface mid-denth and	
hottom denth	4 40
Figure 4.27 Elucroscence vertical nearfield transect plots for surveys (a) WN02A	
(b) WN02R (c) WN02C and (d) WN02F	$\Delta_{-}\Lambda_{1}$
Figure 4-28 Time series of <i>in vitro</i> chloronhyll at three representative nearfield stations	
during the summer-winter 2002	4-42
Figure 4-29 Dissolved oxygen bottom contour in the farfield survey WF02B	4-43
Figure 4-30 Dissolved oxygen bottom contour in the farfield survey WF02F	4-44
ingare i so. Eissorved oxygen ootion contour in the furtherd survey wirozz	···· 1

Figure 4-31. Average dissolved oxygen concentrations and percent saturation in nearfield surface and bottom water	<i>A_</i> 45
Figure 5-1 An example photosynthesis-irradiance curve from station N04 collected in	13
August 2002	5-15
Figure 5-2. Time-series of potential areal production (mg C m ⁻² d ⁻¹) for stations N04 N18 and F23	5-16
Figure 5-3 Time-series of depth-averaged chlorophyll-specific potential production	
(mg C mg Chl ⁻¹ d^{-1}) for stations N04. N18 and F23	
Figure 5-4. Time-series of contoured daily potential production (mgCm ⁻³ d ⁻¹) over depth at	
stations N04 and N18	
Figure 5-5. Time-series of contoured chlorophyll-specific potential production (mgCmgChl $a^{-1}d^{-1}$)	
over depth at station N04 and N18	
Figure 5-6. Time series plots of respiration (μ MO ₂ hr ⁻¹) at stations N18 and N04	
Figure 5-7. Time series plots of respiration (μ MO ₂ hr ⁻¹) at stations F23 and F19	
Figure 5-8. Time series plots of POC (uMC) at stations N18 and N04	
Figure 5-9. Time series plots of POC (uMC) at stations F23 and F19	
Figure 5-10. Comparison of respiration rate versus a) POC concentration and b) temperature	
for data collected at stations N04, N18, F19 and F23 in July – December 2002.	5-24
Figure 5-11. Time Series plots of carbon-specific respiration (μ MO ₂ μ MC ⁻¹ hr ⁻¹) at stations	
N18 and N04	5-25
Figure 5-12. Time Series plots of DOC (µMC) at stations N18 and N04	
Figure 5-13. Phytoplankton abundance by major taxonomic group, nearfield surface samples	5-27
Figure 5-14. Phytoplankton abundance by major taxonomic group, nearfield mid-depth samples	
Figure 5-15. Phytoplankton abundance by major taxonomic group, WF02B farfield survey	
(August 19-22)	5-29
Figure 5-16. Phytoplankton abundance by major taxonomic group, WF02E farfield survey	
(October 7, 9, 10, 15)	
Figure 5-17. Zooplankton abundance by major taxonomic group, nearfield samples	. 5-31
Figure 5-18. Zooplankton abundance by major taxonomic group (a) WF02B farfield survey	
(August) and (b) WF02E farfield survey (October	5-32

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A –	Surface Contour Plots – Farfield Surveys	A-1
Appendix B –	Transect Plots	B-1
Appendix C –	Photosynthesis – Irradiance (P-I) Curves	C-1
Appendix D –	Satellite Images of Chlorophyll-A Concentrations and Temperature	D-1
Appendix E –	Secchi Disk Data	E-1
Appendix F –	Estimated Carbon Equivalence Data	F-1